



NATIONAL MANAGER

FOREWORD

1. The Committee on National Security Systems (CNSS), pursuant to its authority under "National Security Directive 42" (Reference a), is issuing this instruction to provide guidance for implementing CNSS Policy (CNSSP) No. 12, *National Information Assurance Policy for Space Systems Used to Support National Security Missions* (Reference b).

2. The primary objective of this instruction is to help ensure space system planners, developers, operators, Authorizing Officials (AO), Security Controls Assessors (SCA), program managers/information system owners, and information assurance practitioners both understand and ensure information assurance (IA) is adequately integrated into all components of space systems falling under the purview of Reference b.

3. Additional copies of this instruction may be obtained from the CNSS Secretariat or the CNSS website: <u>http://www.cnss.gov</u>.

FOR THE NATIONAL MANAGER

/s/ DEBORA A. PLUNKETT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION

PAGE

SECTION I –	PURPOSE	2
SECTION II –	AUTHORITY	2
SECTION III –	SCOPE	2
SECTION IV -	REQUIREMENTS	3
SECTION V –	DEFINITIONS	11
SECTION VI -	REFERENCES	11

ANNEX

PAGE

ANNEX A – DEFINITIONS	
ANNEX B – ACRONYMS	B-1
ANNEX C – REFERENCES	C-1
ANNEX D – PROGRAM PROTECTION PLAN (PPP)	D-1
ANNEX E – BODY OF EVIDENCE (BOE) ARTIFACTS	E-1
ANNEX F – TRANSMISSION SECURITY (TRANSEC)	F-1
ANNEX G – COMMERCIAL LEASE	G-1
ANNEX H – HOSTED PAYLOADS	H-1
ANNEX I – FLIGHT TERMINATION SYSTEM (FTS)	I-1
ANNEX J – DEFENSIVE CYBERSPACE SERVICES	J-1

National Information Assurance Instruction for Space Systems Used to Support National Security Missions

SECTION I – PURPOSE

1. This instruction provides guidance to Reference b. In addition to this instruction, Reference b must be consulted when identifying applicable space system requirements.

2. This instruction helps ensure the success of missions that rely on use of space-based National Security Systems (NSS). It elaborates on how to appropriately integrate IA into the planning, development, design, launch, sustained operation, and deactivation of those space systems used to collect, generate, process, store, display, or transmit national security information, as well as any supporting or related national security systems. It also provides guidance to the AOs and SCAs for space systems with respect to their roles within the Risk Management Framework (RMF).

SECTION II – AUTHORITY

3. The authority to issue this instruction derives from National Security Directive 42, which outlines the roles and responsibilities for securing National Security Systems, consistent with applicable law, E.O. 12333, as amended, and other Presidential directives. Nothing in this Instruction shall alter or supersede the authorities of the Director of National Intelligence.

SECTION III – SCOPE

4. This instruction applies to all entities within the scope of Reference b.

5. CNSS has jurisdiction over NSS only; therefore, non-NSS components are not under the purview of Reference b or this instruction. Where NSS space systems form a part of a system-of-systems which includes non-NSS systems components, the mission owner and AO for such system-of-systems must consider the impact of non-NSS systems or components in their end-to-end analysis of risks. When practical and necessary, non-NSS systems or components should be selected that have security controls consistent with this instruction.

SECTION IV – REQUIREMENTS

6. General.

a. AO Reviews. The AO or the AO's designee must be afforded the opportunity to participate and make recommendations regarding the adequacy of IA measures at all major design reviews and major program decision points.

b. Experiments, Tests, and Demonstrations. NSS space systems/components used for experiments, tests, or technology/capability demonstrations are required to implement security controls per this instruction. Consideration should be given to using experiments, tests, or demonstrations as a test bed to concurrently evaluate new security controls. The selection and implementation of security controls and the subsequent residual risk analysis should be based on an appropriate operational use case in order to facilitate rapid transition of subject space system/components to operational use. If an operational use case is not applicable or cannot be defined, security controls to protect the space system/components and the data collected from exploitation or attack must be implemented. The AO and mission owner for any experiment, test, demonstration, or follow-on operational use must explicitly accept any risks of not fully complying with this instruction.

c. Ground-based Security Controls. Special attention must be given to the fact that security requirements will vary for different components of a space system depending on when, where, and how those components are tested. Security controls needed for operations in flight may not be needed during system or component testing on the ground and vice versa. Data and physical connections not present or even possible while in flight will be established while the space system is on the ground. Security concerns with respect to physical access to the space system vary significantly depending on whether the system is in a pre- and post-launch environment. All the security implications of ground testing, storage, and transportation of space system components and of flight testing and operations must be addressed in the System Security Plan (SSP). The AO must approve the initial SSP and modifications to the SSP throughout the system's life cycle, ensuring the security control set is appropriate at each phase of the space system's life cycle and not solely for final operations in flight. The AO and program manager/information system owner must also ensure, through contracts, memoranda of agreement, service level agreements, etc., that appropriate security controls are applied to or by other systems and organizations when they logically or physically connect to or access the space system.

d. Developmental Phase Security Concerns. The program manager/information system owner, in consultation with the information owner and mission owner, must develop a Program Protection Plan (PPP) describing how critical program information (CPI) and critical components (CC) will be protected in research, development, and acquisition of NSS space programs. The program manager/information system owner must present the PPP to the AO for review, who may forward the PPP to the acquisition milestone decision authority for approval. For any information system supporting research, development, and acquisition of NSS space systems, the PPP must be used to support the information categorization, information system categorization, and controls selection process or to support contract or service level agreement negotiations (e.g., cleared development contractor systems, commercial services, etc.). Program managers/information system owners must request a Program Protection Implementation Plan from prime contractors to ensure protection requirements are passed to and implemented in all contractor locations. See Annex D for details on the PPP.

e. National Security Agency (NSA) Guidance and Assistance. Programs must engage NSA early in the acquisition lifecycle (i.e., during requirements development) in order to minimize the cost and schedule risks associated with identifying security requirements late in the program and having to repeat parts of the security evaluation processes. Early engagement ensures the planned security architecture is consistent with NSA cryptographic security and information systems security guidance and restrictions. Early engagement will minimize potential cost and schedule risks associated with security requirements, cryptographic algorithm selection, cryptographic device selection, and the associated cryptographic evaluation processes.¹

f. Crypto Bypass.

1) Any capability designed into applicable space systems intended to bypass required cryptography (crypto bypass) requires approval by NSA prior to Critical Design Review. Crypto-bypass must be designed in a manner to minimize the probability of bypass activation due to malicious activity or failure of crypto bypass components. The program office must submit design information to the NSA early in the preliminary design phase and provide updates to the NSA as the design matures.

2) The program office must include provisions for the NSA to review the implementation of operational systems such that NSA may verify no flaws are introduced. The program office must submit to the AO an artifact detailing any risks associated with using crypto bypass during system operations and test events. Risks associated with crypto-bypass are subject to AO acceptance.

g. Body of Evidence (BOE).

1) The program office must provide, upon request of the AO, any BOE artifact for the purpose of making authorization decisions. Typically, in addition to the security authorization package (i.e., SSP, Security Assessment Report (SAR), and Plan of Action and Milestones (POA&M)), the program office provides the following artifacts available to the AO:

- a) System Concept of Operations or Operational Concepts Description
- b) Authorization boundary diagram and description
- c) Hardware, software, and firmware baseline inventory
- d) Network diagram (showing all system components and internal/external

connections)

¹Vendors may engage NSA Information Assurance Directorate through the Information Assurance Business Affairs Office at: <u>http://www.nsa.gov/ia/contacts/index.shtml#bao</u>. Government organizations should contact their Department, Agency, or Service's Client Advocates at the NSA IA Service Center. Further information can be found at: <u>http://www.nsa.gov/ia/contacts/index.shtml#niasc</u>.

e) Data flow diagram (aligned with network diagrams to show high-level data flows across major system components and the accreditation boundary)

f) Ports, protocols, and services (PPS) matrix (show all internal/external PPS; emphasize PPS crossing enclave boundaries)

g) Cross domain ticket number(s) for all cross domain solutions (CDS) (need connection approval)

h) Continuity of Operations Plan (or Business Continuity Plan, Disaster Recovery Plan, etc.)

- i) Backup and Restoration Plan
- j) Configuration Management Plan
- k) Memoranda of Agreement/Understanding and Service Level Agreements
- 1) Risk Assessment Report

2) The BOE artifacts are contained or referenced in the SSP, SAR, and POA&M. The program office must ensure the SCA has access to all artifacts in the BOE. Annex E provides an exemplar list of BOE artifacts, with the types and specificity varying depending on the size, complexity, and maturity of the system.

7. Adequacy of Transmission Security (TRANSEC). A System TRANSEC Plan (STP) must be developed, coordinated, and maintained in accordance with the instructions contained in Annex F. The purpose of the STP is to ensure TRANSEC is appropriately addressed during space system developments and when space system services are leased or otherwise acquired. The STP provides the assigned AO concise documentation to support an adequacy review and adjudication of planned and/or implemented space system TRANSEC measures.

8. **Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM).** In accordance with CNSS Directive No. 505, *Supply Chain Risk Management* (Reference c), organizations must follow SCRM, systems security engineering, and risk management best practices and use SCRM tools and resources for all NSS space systems. Due to a space system's harsh operating environment, inaccessible platforms, and generally higher monetary value, traditional SCRM processes, tools, and techniques may not be practical in all situations (e.g. use of on-orbit security configuration management tools, etc.). To help minimize residual vulnerabilities and potential defects or deficiencies, organizations must pay particular attention to designing and building space platforms to higher standards and assurances and must implement the following SCRM requirements:

a. Conduct an analysis of mission criticality for all NSS space system components and functions in accordance with United States (U.S.) Government, Department, or Agency directive and guidance documents.

b. Apply supply chain protection measures to all NSS space systems in accordance with

Reference c, CNSS Instruction (CNSSI) No. 1253, *Security Categorization and Control Selection for National Security Systems* (Reference d), and the Space Platform Overlay included in Reference d.

c. Document all occurrences of suspect and confirmed counterfeit materiel in the appropriate reporting systems, to include the Government-Industry Data Exchange Program system.

d. Identify potential incentives for commercial providers who voluntarily adopt NSS space system SCRM standards when commercially hosting NSS payloads and missions.

9. **Commercial Space System Services.** IA requirements must be included in commercial contract-related documentation. Department/Agency acquisition documentation must specify the following:

a. What evidence the vendor must provide to give assurance they can comply with all IA requirements stipulated (e.g., at time of lease all security controls are implemented; system components are being maintained in the latest approved security configurations; IA-related plans, processes, and procedures are in place).

b. IA requirements (threshold and objective) requested and also the associated proposal evaluation criteria. (NOTE: See Annex G for additional details on security controls to include.)

c. How the vendor will provide immediate notification if any proposed or contracted IArelated design, security controls, configuration, processes, or procedures change at any time during contract competition or after contract award, respectively.

d. What IA-related artifacts are required and the degree of artifact detail the vendor must provide at the time of evaluation for source selection.

e. The ways in which the vendor will be subject to government validation and inspection of the vendor's IA posture at any time during the lease for service.

f. The contract proposal evaluation plan, which will define the IA evaluation criteria.

g. Requirements for IA monitoring and reporting (reference paragraph 14).

h. The ways in which the vendor will support government- and program-led vulnerability and risk assessments, to include engagement with subject matter experts, access to documentation and proprietary information necessary to evaluate and mitigate residual risk.

10. Hosted Payloads.

a. Program managers/information system owners and AOs must identify and require implementation of additional security controls to mitigate the threats, vulnerabilities, and risks not readily identified during the hosting platform's requirements definition, design, and employment. If any security controls are not already implemented, they must be appropriately addressed by the respective program managers/information system owners to the satisfaction of their respective AOs.

b. When using hosting platforms, the government hosted payload organization must ensure IA strategies, SSPs, contracts, and memoranda of agreement address those requirements in Annex H.

11. Risk Assessment Model.

a. In accordance with CNSSP No. 22, AOs must perform risk assessments for space NSS in accordance with the guidance in National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Special Publication (SP) 800-30, *Guide for Conducting Risk Assessments* (Reference e).

b. AOs must perform and update risk assessments during each phase of a space system's life cycle. The life cycle of a space platform consists of the following phases: on-ground development, on-ground testing, pre-operational testing in space, operations in space, and decommissioning. As a minimum, risk assessments must:

1) Identify system vulnerabilities and the associated known and expected threats likely over the lifetime of the system that can exploit these vulnerabilities. This will help support accurate selection, tailoring, and implementation of baseline security controls in accordance with Reference d.

2) Identify and mitigate risks associated with the development phase of the lifecycle.

3) Determine the residual risk following security control assessments.

4) Determine, as part of continuous monitoring of authorized systems, the effect on risk due to changes to the system design or configuration, operational environment, operational concept or procedures, or threat sources' capability, intent, and targeting.

5) Determine risks resulting from space platform components disposal actions or surviving reentry.

c. The program/organization must identify the scope of the risk assessment in terms of organizational applicability, time frame supported, and architectural/technology considerations. For space platforms, the risk assessment must be performed as early as possible to identify the anticipated space environment-unique threats/vulnerabilities (imposing risk) requiring mitigation during the space platform's pre-launch development. Programs should develop space system architectures, technologies, and procedures that can mitigate latent vulnerabilities and adapt to emerging threats.

d. The risk assessment must also consider that the information technology (IT) components control physical components of the space platform, and exploitation of any associated IT vulnerabilities can have immediate and catastrophic impacts to the space platform's functionality and supported mission. These unique risks must be identified in addition to all other types of risks. For examples of risks and lessons learned, consider similar non-space component control systems.

e. Appendices in Reference g provide exemplary tables, taxonomies, and inputs for risk factors applicable to typical IT systems. Some of that information does not apply to space systems, nor do the appendices consider the space-unique environment. Consequently, the AO (with the assistance of the SCA) must ensure risk assessments for space systems modify and augment the tables and taxonomies information to account for the space-unique environment affecting the risk factors.

12. Flight Termination System (FTS).

a. All links used for an FTS must implement mechanisms sufficient to ensure their availability, integrity, and confidentiality, as required by the mission. Launch systems using remotely commanded FTS must implement NSA-approved cryptography for that application.

b. Autonomous FTS systems using Global Positioning System as an input must use Precise Positioning Service receivers.

c. FTS hardware, software, and firmware must be developed, stored, and distributed in a manner that prevents inadvertent or intentional modification.

d. See Annex I for additional guidance.

13. Cyberspace Defense Service

a. All NSS space systems and networks (to include the space, ground, launch, and user segments) and their associated critical support systems must be provided cyberspace defense services.

b. Cyberspace defense services (whether government or commercial) must include: prepare, protect, detect, analyze, respond, and assess and adjust. Annex J provides descriptions of the services. For AO consideration and approval, responsible program managers/information system owners must provide in the system authorization package evidence of the service provider qualifications and ability to meet service execution and delivery.

c. Cyberspace defense service activities must be coordinated as appropriate with network and space system operators, managers, owners, and users and with law enforcement, counterintelligence, and intelligence authorities.

d. Traditional space platform and terminal suites provide Open Systems Interconnection (OSI) layer two and below functionality, so the corresponding cyberspace defense services are implemented on terrestrial networks. Space platforms providing OSI layer three and above functionality must have additional cyberspace defense services for the space platform. Whether or not these services can be provided remotely must be evaluated on a case-by-case basis; however, all on-orbit and terminal systems and subsystems must be provided an appropriate level of cyberspace defense service.

14. **Monitoring and Reporting.** NSS space systems must be monitored over time to manage risk and to maintain authorizations to operate, due to the dynamic nature of risk factors. Timely and accurate reporting of threats, vulnerabilities, and warnings must be disseminated within the

government and with commercial partners as needed to ensure appropriate response and mitigations. Monitoring of NSS space systems is performed from operational, IA, system, and network perspectives. Reporting and monitoring from all of these perspectives are designed to accurately and completely determine the mission impact.

a. Unauthorized use of links: Unauthorized attempts to access space platform links (also known as bandwidth piracy) should be analyzed across all links. At the discretion of the AO, the space platform operator must implement security controls to prevent, monitor, attribute, and report unauthorized bandwidth usage to the mission owner.

b. Electro-magnetic interference (EMI): Departments/Agencies must establish capabilities and processes to support detecting, geolocating, reporting, and responding to EMI that impacts the ability of NSS space systems to carry out their missions.

1) Technical controls to enable detection, geolocation, and response to EMI for new system developments must be considered during the system concept development phase. These high-level system requirements/capabilities must be developed by the cognizant requirements development entity during the system concept development phase.

2) In order to assure availability to authorized users, the AO, mission owners, and operations centers must pre-coordinate to:

a) Identify measures designed into the satellite system to detect, geo-locate, and resolve EMI events.

b) Identify operational controls to address any deficiencies in the detection, geolocation, and resolution of EMI.

c) Consider the use of capabilities external to the satellite system (such as terrestrial based Signals Intelligence (SIGINT) systems or overhead collection systems) to supplement the geolocation of EMI.

3) Develop and implement procedures to summarize and report EMI.

c. Information Security Continuous Monitoring (ISCM). NSS space organizations must implement a process to support ongoing monitoring of information security across the organization, consistent with NIST SP 800-137, *Information Security Continuous Monitoring for Federal Information Systems and Organizations* (Reference g).

1) NSS space organizations must define a comprehensive ISCM strategy encompassing technology, processes, procedures, operating environments, and people.

2) Program offices must develop an ISCM strategy for each NSS space system consistent with the organizational ISCM strategy. The system ISCM strategy must demonstrate the ability to continuously monitor the security automation domains (and the related security controls) from Reference g.

3) The system ISCM strategy must leverage information provided by Cyberspace

Defense Service providers, as these providers are collecting, correlating, and analyzing securityrelated information from an enterprise-wide perspective, to include the sources and targets of attack/exploits, vulnerabilities across and specific to systems, and patches or upgrades necessary to thwart adversary efforts.

d. Commercial Space System Services.

1) If the Department/Agency requiring commercial services requires operations monitoring and control of those services, it must be identified in their contract language. Contract language must:

a) Establish whether the vendor must provide a Network Operations Center (NOC) facility and an antenna in the space platform footprint to receive and monitor the signal.

b) Establish whether the NOC must be staffed 24 hours per day, 7 days per week, and 365 days per year.

c) Establish reporting procedures and parameters, to include:

- 1. Threshold for outages causing service interruption or degradation
- 2. Reportable events (e.g., outages escalating to an event)
- 3. Thresholds for when reporting must occur
- 4. Report formats

2) Areas Departments/Agencies must consider for IA monitoring over the contract life include:

a) Ensure IA compliance monitoring is outlined in initial acquisitions and leases.

b) Establish in initial acquisitions that vendors must monitor and report changes of their IA posture in writing and provide details of how the changes affect the IA posture.

c) The AO must initially establish the security controls for acquisition or lease, which of those security controls must be monitored, the frequency of monitoring, and by whom.

d) Determine acceptable tools vendors may use to monitor for, manage, and report events and the format in which these tools must report events.

SECTION VI - DEFINITIONS

15. Definitions are provided to clarify terms contained in this instruction, if they are not defined in Reference b or CNSSI No. 4009, *National Information Assurance (IA) Glossary* (Reference h).

SECTION VII - REFERENCES

16. Future updates to referenced documents must be considered applicable to this implementation guidance.

Enclosures:

- ANNEX A DEFINITIONS
- ANNEX B ACRONYMS
- ANNEX C REFERENCES
- ANNEX D PROGRAM PROTECTION PLAN (PPP)
- ANNEX E BODY OF EVIDENCE (BOE) ARTIFACTS
- ANNEX F TRANSMISSION SECURITY (TRANSEC)
- ANNEX G COMMERCIAL LEASE
- ANNEX H HOSTED PAYLOADS
- ANNEX I FLIGHT TERMINATION SYSTEM (FTS)
- ANNEX J CYBERSPACE DEFENSE SERVICES

ANNEX A

DEFINITIONS

1. <u>Anti-Jam</u>: The result of measures to resist attempts to interfere with communications reception.

2. <u>Anti-Signal Fingerprint</u>: Result of measures used to resist attempts to uniquely identify a particular transmitter based on its signal parameters.

3. <u>Anti-Signal Spoof</u>: Result of measures used to resist attempts to achieve imitative or manipulative communications deception based on signal parameters.

4. <u>Cover</u>: Result of measures used to obfuscate message externals to resist traffic analysis.

5. <u>Hosted Payload</u>: A payload carried and supported by a platform where acquisition and operation of the payload is under the authority of an organization different from the hosting platform.

6. <u>Host Platform</u>: A space platform that carries and supports a payload where acquisition and operation of the platform is under the authority of an organization different from the hosted payload.

7. <u>Low Probability of Detection</u>: Result of measures used to resist attempts by adversaries to recognize when a transmission is occurring.

8. <u>Low Probability of Intercept</u>: Result of measures used to resist attempts by adversaries to analyze the parameters of a transmission to determine if it is a signal of interest.

9. <u>Low Probability of Positioning</u>: Result of measures used to resist attempts by adversaries to determine the location of a particular transmitter.

10. <u>Program Protection Plan</u>: A risk-based, comprehensive plan to protect critical program information and critical components associated with a program throughout the research, development, and acquisition phase.

11. <u>Traffic Flow Security</u>: Result of measures used to conceal or obfuscate communication patterns.

ANNEX B

ACRONYMS

- AO Authorizing Official
- BOE Body of Evidence
- CC Critical Component
- CDS Cross Domain Solution
- CNSS Committee on National Security Systems
- CNSSI Committee on National Security Systems Instruction
- CNSSP Committee on National Security Systems Policy
- COMSEC Communications Security
- CPI Critical Program Information
- EA Electronic Attack
- EMI Electro-magnetic Interference
- FTS Flight Termination System
- IA Information Assurance
- ISCM Information Security Continuous Monitoring
- IT Information Technology
- NIST National Institute of Standards and Technology
- NOC Network Operations Center
- NSA National Security Agency
- NSD National Security Directive
- NSS National Security System
- OPSEC Operations Security

- OSI Open Systems Interconnection
- POA&M Plan of Action and Milestones
- PPP Program Protection Plan
- PPS Port, Protocol, and Service
- RMF Risk Management Framework
- SAR Security Assessment Report
- SCA Security Controls Assessor
- SCRM Supply Chain Risk Management
- SIGINT Signals Intelligence
- SP Special Publication
- SSP System Security Plan
- STP System TRANSEC Plan
- TRANSEC Transmission Security
- U.S. United States

ANNEX C

REFERENCES

- a. National Security Directive 42 (NSD-42), *National Policy for the Security of National Security Telecommunications and Information Systems*, July 5, 1990.
- b. Committee on National Security Systems Policy 12 (CNSSP No. 12), National Information Assurance Policy for Space Systems Used to Support National Security Missions, November 28, 2012
- c. Committee on National Security Systems Directive 505 (CNSSD No. 505), *Supply Chain Risk Management*, March 7, 2012.
- d. Committee on National Security Systems Instruction 1253 (CNSSI No. 1253) Security Categorization and Control Selection for National Security Systems, March 2012.
- e. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Special Publication (SP) 800-30, *Guide for Conducting Risk Assessments*, September, 2012.
- f. Committee on National Security Systems Policy 22 (CNSSP No. 22), *Policy on Information Assurance Risk Management for National Security Systems*, January 2012.
- g. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Special Publication (SP) 800-137, Information Security Continuous Monitoring (ISCM) for Federal Information Systems and Organizations, September, 2012.
- h. Committee on National Security Systems Instruction 4009 (CNSSI No. 4009), *National Information Assurance (IA) Glossary*, updated April 26, 2010.

ANNEX D

PROGRAM PROTECTION PLAN (PPP)

1. Background: Many NSS space systems are developed in whole or in part on information systems maintained, controlled, and protected out of purview of the AO. Development often occurs in unclassified environments with little oversight or mandated protection. Subsequent classification of the information is difficult to assure, because it has been exposed to a high level of risk and potential for previous compromise. Even unclassified information must be protected due to sensitivity of aggregated information and because a compromise of the architecture, data flows, components, and configurations of NSS space systems may provide some advantage to the adversary.

2. Critical components (CC) are or contain information and communications technology (including hardware, software, and firmware, whether custom, commercial, or otherwise developed) which delivers or protects mission critical functionality of a system or which, because of the system's design, may introduce vulnerability to the mission critical functions of an applicable system.

3. Critical program information (CPI) are elements or components of a program in the research, development, and acquisition phase that, if compromised, could cause significant degradation in mission effectiveness; shorten the expected effective life of the system; reduce technological advantage; significantly alter program direction; or enable an adversary to defeat, counter, copy, or reverse engineer the technology or capability. CPI includes information about applications, capabilities, processes, and end-items; elements or components critical to a system or network mission effectiveness; or technology that would reduce the U.S. technological advantage if it came under foreign control.

4. To reduce the potential for information compromise, CPI and CC must be protected at equivalent levels during development and operations. Items to consider, on the information systems used to develop NSS space systems, may include defensive cyberspace service providers, monitoring and reporting of information compromises, processes for controlling information access, SCRM, and residual risk posture.

5. The PPP is used to develop tailored protection guidance for CPI and CC, and this guidance is disseminated and implemented throughout the program for which it is created. The layering and integration of the selected protection requirements documented in a PPP provide for the integration and synchronization of CPI and CC protection activities throughout the Department/Agency. Following are key elements of a PPP, which are tailored to meet program requirements:

a. Technology and project description or system and program description with an emphasis on what is unique as the foundation for identifying CPI and CC.

b. List of CPI and CC to be protected in the program. This generally describes classified CPI in an unclassified manner and is not suitable for horizontal protection analysis (i.e., across programs) or the preparation of a counterintelligence assessment.

- c. Threats to CPI and CC:
 - 1) Internal and external threats
 - 2) Summary of threat data from the intelligence community
- d. Vulnerabilities of CPI and CC to identified threats
- e. Countermeasures:
 - 1) Security countermeasures (all disciplines, as appropriate)
 - 2) Counterintelligence support plan
 - 3) Anti-tamper annex
 - 4) OPSEC plan
 - 5) System assurance
 - 6) Other countermeasures (unspecified)
- f. Technology assessment/control plan
- g. Classification guides
- h. Protection costs
- i. Follow-on support

ANNEX E

BODY OF EVIDENCE (BOE) ARTIFACTS

Below is a list of exemplar BOE artifacts, in addition to those identified in paragraph 6.g.1 of this instruction. The list below is not all inclusive and may be tailored early in system development to assist program managers/information system owners in identifying artifacts necessary to show compliance with assigned security controls. Some systems (e.g., systems in sustainment) may not have all the artifacts listed below. For RMF and connection decision purposes, the list of artifacts appropriate for a system at various points in its life cycle (to include upgrades and changes to fielded systems) should be coordinated with the AO and the SCA.

- 1. Agreed Data Requirements List
- 2. Clinger-Cohen Compliance Report
- 3. Communications Security (COMSEC) Material Control Guide
- 4. COMSEC Operational Security Doctrine
- 5. Configuration Management Plan (developmental and operational)
- 6. Conformance Test Plan
- 7. Conformance Test Report
- 8. Cross Domain Appendix
- 9. Fail-Safe Design Analysis
- 10. Incident Response Plan/Tactics, Technique and Procedures
- 11. Information Assurance Strategy
- 12. Insider Threat Monitoring and Mitigation Strategy
- 13. Interface Design Description
- 14. System Key Management Plan (or Key Certificate Management Architecture)
- 15. Operating Procedures
- 16. Operational Requirements Document
- 17. Patch Management Plan
- 18. Penetration Test Plan

- 19. Program and Budget Documentation
- 20. Program Protection Plan
- 21. Software Development Plan
- 22. Software Installation Plan
- 23. Software Test Plans/Procedures
- 24. Software User's Manual
- 25. System/Subsystem Detailed Design
- 26. System/Subsystem Specification
- 27. System TRANSEC Plan
- 28. TEMPEST Control Plan
- 29. TEMPEST Test Plan and Report
- 30. Threat Studies and Analyses
- 31. Version Description Document
- 32. Vulnerability Management Plan
- 33. Contracts and agreements with developer/contractor related to security
- 34. Results of developer/contractor tests and analysis related to security

ANNEX F

TRANSMISSION SECURITY (TRANSEC)

1. TRANSEC measures (as required by security controls) are necessary whenever mission requirements dictate the need to prevent interception, disruption of reception, communications deception, and/or derivation of intelligence by analysis of transmission characteristics such as signal parameters and message externals. At the highest level, there are two classes of threats TRANSEC measures address:

a. SIGINT: SIGINT activities include communications intelligence, electronics intelligence, and foreign instrumentation intelligence. SIGINT efforts against space systems target transmissions from operations centers, mission/user terminals, and/or space platforms in order to defeat signal and transmitter confidentiality. SIGINT activities include scanning the electromagnetic spectrum to detect, locate, fingerprint the transmitter; intercept the message external information; and/or analyze traffic flow patterns.

b. Electronic Attack (EA): Transmission of hostile signals (jamming) to interfere with the reception of legitimate signals, consume bandwidth in order to compete with legitimate signals (denial of service), and/or transmit signals intended to achieve imitative or manipulative communications deception based on signal parameters. The intentions of these EA efforts are to deny signal availability or defeat signal integrity.

2. Implementation of the following measures, singularly or in combination, address threats to communication channels:

- a. Anti-Jam (also known as jam resistance)
- b. Anti-Signal Fingerprint
- c. Anti-Signal Spoof
- d. Cover
- e. Low Probability of Detection
- f. Low Probability of Interception
- g. Low Probability of Positioning
- h. Traffic Flow Security
- 3. The STP includes:
 - a. Description of all system communications links (type, missions supported, locations

of transmitters and receivers, etc.)

b. For each link:

1) Potential mission impact (low, moderate, or high) due to the loss of the link's confidentiality, integrity, and/or availability.

2) TRANSEC measures required and specific techniques to achieve the level of robustness appropriate for system categorization defined in accordance with Reference d.

c. List of validated threat intelligence and documents reviewed describing current and future threats over the lifetime of the system.

d. Description and rationale for the system-level TRANSEC measures planned and/or implemented to mitigate relevant current and projected threats.

e. Confirmation that the overall risk assessment addresses TRANSEC.

f. Description of mission impact and alternate plans to support critical mission operations, if projected threats cannot be adequately countered.

g. Details of planned cryptographic techniques or equipment supporting TRANSEC measures, NSA documentation approving the techniques and equipment, and an overview of the NSA-approved key management approach.

h. Program schedule for TRANSEC-related activities.

i. List of organizations consulted and/or required to provide TRANSEC advice, guidance, and/or approval as a function of the categorization (in accordance with Reference d) and TRANSEC techniques. Organizations the STP should consider include:

1) NSA: Approve cryptographic techniques or devices needed to support TRANSEC measures.

2) Threat Authorities (e.g., Defense Intelligence Agency, and the National Air and Space Intelligence Center): Provide intelligence data regarding adversarial capability and intent to execute a counterspace mission such as electronic attack, SIGINT, offensive cyber operations, command link intrusions, etc.

3) Research Laboratories and/or Federally Funded Research and Development Centers: Assess the efficacy of a particular TRANSEC technique in addressing the threat and help assess of the residual risk.

4. The STP for new system developments must be initiated during the system concept development phase. As mission requirements, concept of operations, system design, and threat knowledge mature, the STP must be reviewed, revised, and updated throughout the system

lifecycle along with other IA plans.

a. The initial draft of the STP describing the key, high-level system TRANSEC requirements/capabilities needed must be developed by the cognizant requirements development entity during the system concept development phase.

b. The system's mission owner and AO (or designee) must be afforded the opportunity to review the system concept, draft STP prior to subsequent system contract awards.

c. After completion of the concept development phase and development of the initial STP draft, the system program office assumes STP ownership.

d. The program office must provide the STP status and planned implementation for review at each major system milestone and design review. The program office must provide the STP to the AO in advance of each milestone review, allowing sufficient review time.

e. The AO must review the latest version of the STP prior to issuing an authorization to operate. For some TRANSEC implementations, approval from certain external organizations (as identified in the STP) may be required prior to submitting the STP to the AO (reference paragraph 4.i. above).

f. The STP must be maintained and updated along with other high-level IA plans as necessary to maintain the AO's authorization to operate.

5. The STP for space systems whose services are to be leased, purchased, or otherwise acquired for national security missions must be developed by the cognizant acquisition authority and mission owner and approved by the AO (or designee) prior to contract award or equivalent. An Annex to the STP will contain the TRANSEC-related contract language. The STP must be updated after contract award or equivalent, if acquired services require change, the mission or concept of operations changes, or if significant new threats or vulnerabilities become known that may impact TRANSEC needs.

ANNEX G

COMMERCIAL LEASE

1. Departments/Agencies must determine the overall IA requirements and specific set of security controls most important to accomplishing their specific missions. Reference d and the Space Platform Overlay provide a starting point for identifying potential security controls for a commercial lease contract. Other security controls may be required beyond these. The controls will be applied and evaluated commensurate with the impact categorization identified in accordance with Reference d. Some areas to consider in selecting and tailoring the types of security controls for all components of a space system in the space, ground, user, or launch segments are any requirements in the lease associated with:

a. Physical and logical protection of fixed or mobile terrestrial-based facilities (e.g., satellite control facilities and ground stations such as NOCs, Teleports, etc.).

b. Continuity of operations for ground facilities.

c. Processing, storing, transmitting and/or receiving classified, proprietary, or other types of data not approved for public release.

d. Operations Security (OPSEC) measures to ensure anonymity of the Department/Agency from any of their third-party contractors.

e. Periodic third-party validation of vendor facilities and personnel, and of security processes, procedures, controls, and configurations.

2. If required by the mission owner, the Department/Agency must stipulate in the contract that "non-preemptible" service is required. This ensures the Department/Agency's service is contractually obligated to be provided for the entire duration of the lease and cannot be stopped and provided to some other customer or user, otherwise the vendor is in breach of the contract.

3. The contract proposal evaluation plan may contain minimum threshold and any objective requirements as determined by the applicable AO and mission owner prior to releasing requests for proposal (or equivalent document). The evaluation plan may indicate preference is given to vendors who can meet threshold requirements and some established level of or all the objective IA requirements.

4. The Federal Acquisition Regulations and the Defense Acquisition Regulations provide the requirements, processes, and sample documentation needed to process a commercial lease contract.

ANNEX H

HOSTED PAYLOADS

1. Typically, hosted payloads and hosting platforms conduct acquisition, design, and development with differing levels of security requirements and controls. When non-NSS organizations (e.g. commercial, U.S. civil, or foreign) develop spacecraft and host an NSS payload, security risks to the NSS-payload are greater due to a lack of NSS organizational insight and control of the host platform's design, manufacture, and operation. Conversely, when organizations within the NSS community acquire and control both the payload and spacecraft, the requisite security controls are more likely to be already implemented.

2. The following are requirements the government hosted payload organization addresses in IA strategies, SSPs, contracts, and memoranda of agreement, when using hosting platforms:

a. Process and procedures detailing how and when the hosting platform and hosted payload organizations share IA design/assurance information and determine how to share implemented IA capabilities.

b. Negotiated hosting platform security controls and related design changes necessary to mitigate serious risks to the hosted payload mission.

c. Security-related interface requirements.

d. Pre- and post-launch tests to verify IA functionality and status.

e. IA requirements as an integral part of design reviews and decisions.

f. Identification and mitigation of significant IA risks associated with the payload's and hosting platform's external interfaces and communications links.

g. Development, review, and approval of a comprehensive IA architecture describing:

1) Physical and/or logical separation of payload mission data from the host platform.

2) Payload command and control data processing.

3) Implementation of payload and platform COMSEC and TRANSEC.

4) Data transmission to/from each communication link.

5) Information flows between host platform and payload's space and ground segments.

6) CDS requirements.

h. Measures used to protect proprietary information (domestic or foreign), national security information, U.S. government information not approved for public release, and foreign government information.

i. Procedures addressing foreign acquisition requirements as identified in applicable statutory and regulatory documents.

j. Operational procedures for identifying, responding to, and recovering from attacks, anomalies, emergencies, and other security-impacting events.

k. Potential incentives or preferences for commercial entities implementing host space platforms fully or partially compliant with CNSS IA requirements and meeting the hosted payload's protection requirements.

ANNEX I

FLIGHT TERMINATION SYSTEM (FTS)

1. Flight termination includes actions to end the flight of an errant aerospace vehicle posing a threat to public safety or that must be prevented from leaving controlled areas to protect sensitive information or technology. FTS must be designed, built, and operated to reliably terminate errant aerospace vehicles and to prevent unwanted termination of aerospace vehicles.

2. FTS fall into three categories: commanded, autonomous, and hybrid.

a. Commanded: In a commanded system, the decision to terminate a vehicle and the associated commands originate from a source outside the aerospace vehicle. Each system and link must be examined to determine the requirement for security controls. Reference b requires use of NSA-approved cryptography for launch vehicles using remotely-controlled FTS. FTS implementations lacking NSA-approved cryptography (e.g., Inter Range Instrumentation Group) are not authorized for the launch of spacecraft falling under the scope of Reference b.

b. Autonomous: Autonomous systems include many of the same components as commanded systems; however, the decision to terminate flight is made by the aerospace vehicle. Procedures must be in place to ensure the software and/or firmware remains unmodified from the reviewed and approved version. Confidentiality, integrity, and availability of links connecting these components must be examined to ensure adequate safety and mission assurance is provided. Use of Precise Positioning Service is required for all launch vehicles delivering NSS.

c. Hybrid: Hybrid FTS combine features of both commanded and autonomous systems. The security of hybrid FTS must meet the minimum requirements for both commanded and autonomous systems.

3. Nearly every link requires integrity and availability controls, but careful consideration to system and link availability must be given to systems that allow the aerospace vehicle to continue or terminate based on a lack of inputs needed to make those decisions. Many FTS include safeing mechanisms that block the execution of flight termination prior to leaving the launch complex or after the point where the vehicle can no longer pose a threat to safety or leave the controlled area. These features can be effective in reducing risks from adversary jamming and spoofing of data sources or command links early or late in the aerospace vehicles flight.

ANNEX J

CYBERSPACE DEFENSE SERVICES

Cyberspace defense services are described as follows:

- 1. Prepare: organize, develop processes and procedures, train, and equip (select and deploy)
- 2. Protect: maintain security posture, protect data, prevent malware, prevent unauthorized access, and contain or eradicate an attack
- 3. Detect: monitor and detect unauthorized or malicious activity
- 4. Analyze: determine if attacked (i.e., are network problems a result of malicious actions or benign actions/equipment failures), characterize attack (e.g., determine if a network outage is due to a computer attack and of what type or due to jamming of a communications link carrying the network), identify impacted systems and assets, perform damage assessments, and report incidents
- 5. Respond: develop course/s of action, implement changes to counter attack or mitigate, determine residual risk, and incident handling/collaboration
- 6. Assess and Adjust: assess services effectiveness and residual risk, implement changes, and conduct evaluations and assessments