

#### MOTION TO INTERVENE AND QUASH SUBPOENA

COMES NOW Sebastiaan Provost, a third party, who by and through counsel, seeks to intervene in the above-referenced matter for the limited purpose of quashing a subpoena issued to Cloudflare, Inc. by the United States Government.

One can easily envision Sam Adams and Tom Paine using the internet to disseminate truths about the British Occupation while the forces of the king tried to shut them down without providing an opportunity to redress their grievances. It is only when John Adams took up the cause of a British soldier, that the American way of access to justice was established.

#### LEGAL STANDARD FOR INTERVENTION

The Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure lack a counterpart to Fed.R.Civ.P. 24, which allows intervention. Nonetheless, courts have permitted intervention when the potential intervenor has a legitimate interest in the outcome and cannot protect that interest without becoming a party. See *In re Associated Press*, 162 F.3d 503, 507-08 (7th Cir.1998) (allowing intervention in a criminal prosecution). See also Fed.R.Crim.P. 57(b) ("A judge may regulate practice in any manner consistent with federal law, these rules, and the local rules of the district."). Cf. *United States v. Rollins*, No. 09-2293 (7th Cir. June 9, 2010) WBDL: Motion To Intervene and Quash

(discussing opinions that allow motions for reconsideration in criminal cases, despite the absence of any provision in the Rules of Criminal Procedure.)

#### **DISCUSSION**

When the government subpoenas a corporation for information about an individual, then that individual must have the right to challenge that subpoena. Otherwise, the constitution would only exist between corporations and the government with the individual left out in the cold. Courts have repeatedly asserted that when a third party's rights are threatened by the government, then they have the right to avail themselves of due process. See, e.g. *Gravel v. United States*, 408, U.S. 606, 608-609 (1972); *Warth v. Seldin*, 422 U.S. 490, 498 (1975); and for a general discussion on the right to hearing, *Matthews v. Eldridge*, 424 U.S. 319 (1976).

In its prosecution of Mr. Brown, the government has issued a broad subpoena to domain name server Cloudflare regarding the domain "echelon2.org" and the internet activities of Mr. Provost, who built newsgathering websites for Mr. Brown. To close the court door to Mr. Provost while the government invasively collects information on him is redolent of the more frightening passages in Kafka.<sup>2</sup>

"Someone must have slandered Josef K., for one morning, without having done anything wrong, he was arrested." Kafka, *The Trial*.

Mr. Provost has a clear interest in determining whether his information and data are given over to the U.S Government and should therefore be allowed to intervene.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The government may argue that a person cedes their rights to a corporation when it contracts with them, which would eschew individual rights in a society that lives within the context of corporate transaction.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Nb. Senator Ron Wyden's Letter to Attorney General Eric Holder *concerning the government's overbroad seizure of domains*. A subpoena of a domain is a seizure of proprietary information, same as limiting the movement of a person is an arrest.

#### LEGAL STANDARD TO QUASH SUBPOENA

Mr. Provost moves pursuant to Fed.R.Crim.P. 17(c)(2) to quash the subpoena issued by the government. Under this rule, a court may quash a subpoena if compliance would "be unreasonable or oppressive."

#### **DISCUSSION**

Mr. Provost is a young man who builds websites for newsgathering purposes. The U.S Government cannot make a sufficient showing of need to overcome the First Amendment rights that attach with regard to freedom of speech and newsgathering activity. See *Silkwood v. Kerr –Mcgee Corp.*, 563 F.2d 433 (10<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1977.) In contrast to a twitter account where one is publicly broadcasting their thoughts, Mr. Provost is engaged in simply building channels for the dissemination of ideas. Cf. *People of the State of New York v. Malcolm Harris*, Docket No. 2011NY080152 (N.Y. Crim. Ct. June 30, 2012). The First Amendment rights of speech and association here are so vital that the subpoena must be quashed. There are no thought police in America.

The government is using the prosecution of Mr. Brown as a "fishing expedition" against Mr. Provost, which is ruled out by the First Amendment. *See Silkwoood*, 536 F. 2d at 438. Whether it be a blog or *The New York Times*, "[W]ithout some protection for seeking out the news, freedom of the press could be eviscerated." *Branzburg v. Hayes* 408, U.S. 665, 681 (1972). Furthermore, turning over this information could be testimonial and violate our most established Fifth Amendment privileges against self-incrimination. See *Boyd v. U.S.* 116 US 616, 68 S. Ct. 524, 29. L.ED. 746 (1886); see also *Fischer v. U.S.* 425 U.S. 391, 96 S. Ct. 1659, L. ED. 2d 39 (1976) citing *Boyd*. See also, *U.S. v. Palfrey*, 530 F. Supp. 2d 343, (DDC 2008) (defense subpoenas quashed for being a "fishing expedition.")

With the inter-connected structure of the internet, the government could use one indictment to *virally subpoena* data and information about almost anyone. As a matter of

policy, we have entered a new *Jeffersonian* age where independent citizens can utilize the internet to explore the truth about their own governments.<sup>3</sup> This *move toward more democracy, by and for the people,* should be protected and encouraged, not suppressed through FBI subpoena, harassment of privacy, and denial of the individual right to speak out on his own behalf.

Mr. Provost's moves this Honorable Court to allow him to intervene and quash the subpoena for oppressiveness pursuant to Fed.R.Crim.P. 17(c)(2).

Respectfully submitted,

# s/Jason Flores-Williams

Jason Flores-Williams Attorney for Mr. Provost Bar No. 132611 624 Galisteo #10 JFW@JFWLAW.NET Santa Fe, NM 87505 T: 505-467-8288

F: 505-467-8288

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Third Amendment has become a moribund footnote to our history: "No soldier shall..." But thinking in terms of this new virtual world, Homeland Security and the perpetually vague War on Terrorism, one wonders if it does not have some analogizing relevance to government occupation of domains… WBDL: Motion To Intervene and Quash

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V. BARRETT LANCASTER BROWN Attorney for Intervenor: ▲ COURT USE ONLY ▲ Jason Flores-Williams, Esq. 624 Galisteo #10 Santa Fe, NM 87505 Telephone: 505-467-8288 Case Number: Email: JFW@JFWLAW.NET 3:12-CR-317-L Bar No. 132611 Hon. Judge Lindsay

#### **CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE**

Lead Counsel for Defense Doug Morris has been conferenced and does not oppose this motion. Due to the nature of this intervention motion from a third-party as it relates to a subpoena in the above-referenced matter, there has been no conference with the government and the motion is assumed opposed. Certificate of Conference attached pursuant to Local Rule 5.1 of the Northern District of Texas.

Respectfully submitted,

s/Jason Flores-Williams

Jason Flores-Williams Attorney for Mr. Provost

Bar No. 132611 624 Galisteo #10 Santa Fe, NM 87505 JFW@JFWLAW.NET T: 505-467-8288 F: 505-467-8288

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on 4/2/1, I caused a copy of the foregoing pleading to be delivered via electronic filing to the Honorable Sam. A. Lindsay, United States District Judge; and Candina S. Heath, Assistant United States Attorney; and Doug Morris, Assistant Federal Public Defender; and via fax to interested party CloudFlare, Inc. Further that a Judge's Copy was mailed this day to the Honorable Sam A. Lindsay.

### s/ Jason Flores-Williams

Jason Flores-Williams Attorney for Mr, Provost Bar No. 132611 624 Galisteo #10 SF, NM 87505 JFW@JFWLAW.NET T: 505-467-8288

F: 505-467-8288

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA §
v. § No. 3:12-CR-317-L
§
BARRETT LANCASTER BROWN §

# GOVERNMENT'S MOTION TO DISMISS MOTION TO INTERVENE AND QUASH SUBPOENA

The United States, by and through the undersigned Assistant United States

Attorney, respectfully files this Motion to Dismiss the Motion to Intervene and Quash

Subpoena, for the following reasons:

The attorney filing the Motion, Jason Flores-Williams, is not licensed in the State of Texas or admitted to practice in the Northern District of Texas.

Mr. Flores-Williams has not complied with LCrR 57.9 and 57.10, that being, prior to filing his Motion to Intervene and Quash Subpoena, Mr. Flores-Williams (1) did not seek the permission of the presiding judge to practice in this district (LCrR 57.9(a)); (2) did not apply for admission *pro hac vice* or pay the applicable fee to the clerk (LCrR 57.9(b)); and (3) has not identified local counsel or requested an exemption from that requirement (LCrR 57.10 and 57.11).

Further, Mr. Flores-Williams did not comply in good faith with LCrR 47.1, that being, prior to filing his Motion to Intervene and Quash Subpoena, Mr. Flores-Williams

failed to conference the government, and failed to explain why it was not possible to confer with the government (LCrR 47.1(b)(3)). Pursuant to LCrR 47.1(h), a conference is required for any motion to quash.

In the event the government's Motion to Dismiss is denied, the government reserves the right to respond to the merits of the Motion to Intervene and Quash Subpoena.

Respectfully submitted,

SARAH R. SALDAÑA UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

S/ Candina S. Heath
CANDINA S. HEATH
Assistant United States Attorney
State of Texas Bar No. 09347450
1100 Commerce Street, 3rd Floor
Dallas, Texas 75242
Tel: 214.659.8600

Fax: 214.658.8812 candina.heath@usdoj.gov

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on April 2, 2013, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the clerk for the U.S. District Court, Northern District of Texas, using the electronic case filing system of the court. The electronic case filing system sent a "Notice of Electronic Filing" to Brown's attorney of record Doug Morris who consented in writing to accept this Notice as service of this document by electronic means. I also faxed this Motion to Jason Flores-Williams, Esq. at

S/ Candina S. Heath
CANDINA S. HEATH
Assistant United States Attorney

Case 3:12-cr-00317-L Document 44	# 160 5403/13 P	age 1 of 5 PageID 156
OR GI	TES DISTRICT COURT	U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS FILED
FOR THE NORTH	IERN DISTRICT OF TEX.	APR - 3 <b>2013</b>
UNITED STATES  Plaintiff	- § - §	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  By  Deputy
<b>v.</b>	§ Case No. 3;12-CF	R-317-L
BARRETT BROWN  Defendant	- \$ - \$	
(Complete all question	ADMISSION PRO HAC ns; indicate "N/A" if neces	ssary.)
JASON FLORES-WILLIAMS  624 GALISTEO #10 (Street Address)	or the law Inin or (or pract	, with offices at
JASON FLORES-WILLIAMS 624 GALISTEO #10	NM (State)	·
JASON FLORES-WILLIAMS  624 GALISTEO #10 (Street Address)  SANTA FE	NM_	, with offices at
JASON FLORES-WILLIAMS  624 GALISTEO #10 (Street Address)  SANTA FE (City)  505-467-8288 (Telephone No.)  II. Applicant will sign all filings with the n	NM (State)	, with offices at  87505 (Zip Code)
JASON FLORES-WILLIAMS  624 GALISTEO #10 (Street Address)  SANTA FE (City)  505-467-8288 (Telephone No.)	NM (State) ame JASON FLORES-WIL as applicant's registration	, with offices at  87505 (Zip Code)  LIAMS  for electronic case filing and
JASON FLORES-WILLIAMS  624 GALISTEO #10 (Street Address)  SANTA FE (City)  505-467-8288 (Telephone No.)  II. Applicant will sign all filings with the none option of the company of the co	NM (State) ame JASON FLORES-WIL as applicant's registration	, with offices at  87505 (Zip Code)  LIAMS  for electronic case filing and
JASON FLORES-WILLIAMS  624 GALISTEO #10 (Street Address)  SANTA FE (City)  505-467-8288 (Telephone No.)  II. Applicant will sign all filings with the n  OPTIONAL: Applicant wants this form to serve consents under Fed.R.Civ.P. 5(b) to accept serv  IFW@JFWLAW.NET (E-mail Address)  III. Applicant has been retained personally of	NM (State)  ame JASON FLORES-WIL as applicant's registration ice electronically at the fo	87505 (Zip Code)  LIAMS  for electronic case filing and allowing e-mail address:

to provide legal representation in connection with the above-styled matter now pending before the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas.

IV. Applicant is a member in good standing of the bar of the highest court of the state of				
NEW MEXICO	EW MEXICO , where Applicant regularly practices law.			
Bar license number: 132611 Admission date: 04/2009  Attach to this application an original certificate of good standing issued within the past 90 days from the attorney licensing authority in a state in which you are admitted to practice (e.g., the State Bar of Texas).				
V. Applicant has also been a	dmitted to practice before the follow	wing courts:		
Court:	Admission Date:	Active or Inactive	:	
US DIST CT. NM	O7/2010	ACTIVE		
DC	04/2010	ACTIVE		
	untarily lost, temporarily or permanuu of discipline, except as provided		ore any	
VII. Applicant has never been regardless of outcome—while a m admission to practice, except as pr	subject to grievance proceedings or ember of the bar of any state or fed ovided below:	involuntary removal proceedireral court or tribunal that requ	ngs— ires	
NEVER				
VIII. Applicant has not been chaprovided below (omit minor traffic	arged, arrested, or convicted of a crestoffenses):	iminal offense or offenses, exc	cept as	
DUI IN ORANGE COUNTY, CALIF	ORNIA WHILE ON VACATION IN	SEPTEMBER 2004		

IX. Distri		ed for <i>pro hac vice</i> admiss the past three (3) years in t		tates District Court for the Northern rs:
Date	of Application:	Case No. And Styl	e:	
NEVE	ER.			
	(	If necessary, attach statem	nent of additional ap	oplications.)
X.	Local counsel of	record associated with Ap	plicant in this matt	er is
LAW	OFFICES OF ANDR	EW GARCIA, P.C.	All the annual along Makadaka isanini da sana adamatah m	who has offices at
	N/ZANG BLVD., ST Address)	E. 106		to the second se
DALL (City)	AS		TX	75203
(City)			(State)	(Zip Code)
214-94	12-4200			
(Teleph	ione No.)		_	
XI.	Check the approp	oriate box below.		
	For Application i	n a Civil Case		
	F.R.D.28	4 (N.D. Tex. 1988) (en ba	nc), and the local c	ce Savs. & Loan Ass'n, 121  wil rules of this court and will  i and with the local civil rules.
	For Application i	n a Criminal Case		
	Applican	t has read and will comply	with the local crin	inal rules of this court.
docum	orthern District of The next has been serve	tfully requests to be admitted for this cause only. And upon each attorney of red filing fee, on this the 2	Applicant certifies to cord and the originations of the cord and the originations of the cord and the cord an	e United States District Court for hat a true and correct copy of this al upon the clerk of court,
			Printed Name	ES-WILLIAMS of Applicant
			Signature	



# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

# Certificate

STATE OF NEW MEXICO }  ss.
<pre> SUPREME COURT  } ss. </pre>
I, JOEY D. MOYA, Chief Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of New Mexico,
hereby certify that JASON FLORES WILLIAMS
was admitted to practice law in the Supreme Court and other courts of the State of New
Mexico onApril 20, 2009, and has at all times since been and is now a
member of the Bar of said Supreme Court in good standing.
"Good standing" means that the attorney is current on payment of State Bar dues;
has complied with Minimum Continuing Legal Education requirements; and is not presently
under either administrative or disciplinary suspension. No disciplinary action involving
professional misconduct has been taken against the attorney's law license. This certification
expires 30 days from this date, unless sooner revoked or rendered invalid by operation of
rule or law.
,
WITNESS, My official signature and the seal
of said Court this <u>2nd</u> day of
April, 2013
of the State of New Mexico
By Maclelini Staria
Chief Deputy Clerk

# LAW OFFICE OF JASON FLORES-WILLIAMS, ESQ. 624 Galisteo #10 SF/NM 87505 505/469-5050

"That, at any rate, is the theory of our Constitution. It is an experiment, as all life is an experiment."

Justice Oliver Wendell Holmes, dissenting.

4/2/13

Dear Clerk:

Thank you for your work.

Enclosed is my application for pro hac vice and \$25 application fee. If you have any questions do not hesitate to contact me.

Best,

Jason Flores-Williams, Esq.

Jason

04/03/2013	44	Application for Admission Pro Hac Vice with Cert. of Good Standing for Attorney Jason Drake Flores-Williams (Filing fee \$25; Receipt number 65566) filed by Barrett Lancaster Brown. (twd) (Entered: 04/04/2013)
04/04/2013		ELECTRONIC ORDER granting 44 Application for Admission Pro Hac Vice of Jason Flores-Williams. If not already done, Applicant must register as an ECF User within 14 days (LCrR 49.2(g)). as to Barrett Lancaster Brown (1) (Ordered by Judge Sam A Lindsay on 4/4/2013) (chmb) (Entered: 04/04/2013)