Sir,

During our initial OPT, when we were just hours away from receiving PFC Manning, the Base Staff along with Sec Bn Cmdr and the CO of the Naval Health Clinic discussed proper receiving of the detainee, the initial mental health assessments, continuous mental health screening/assessments and proper brig supervision. PFC Manning is on suicide watch, which means he is under constant observation. He is in his cell in skivvies and has only a blanket. Measures are in place now to ensure all personnel (Brig Staff/ Counselors/Mental Health Physicians) who come in contact with PFC Manning, know that if he no longer meets the criteria to be detained, that we would be immediately transferred to the mental health ward in Walter Reed.

The Army Correctional Command actually owns him and has asked for second and third opinions on his mental health and ability to handle the stress of being detained in our brig. I just got off the phone with the CO of the Naval Health Clinic and also just met with Col Oltman, and directed that they provide me weekly updates on Manning, by providing general info on his attitude and mental health. Will forward a copy of the weekly reports to you personally, and it will be separate from the weekly Base Updates.

Of note: Col Oltman states that mail from Code Pink and other organizations that think Manning is a hero, Manning is refusing all mail addressed to him from those types of organizations.

Sir, we all understand the importance of maintaining a close watch and ensuring he is mentally stable to remain detained in our facility for quite some time.

VR, DJC

Col Daniel J. Choike, USMC
Commander, Marine Corps Base

-----Original Message-----
From: Flynn LtGen George J
Sent: Monday, August 09, 2010 13:42
To: Oltman Col Robert G; Choike Col Daniel J
Cc: Reed SgtMaj Dennis W; Mortenson Col Royal; Miner Col Christopher W
Subject: FW: Behavioral Profile: Struggles Of Soldier Charged In Leak Case
Importance: High
Bob and Dan,

We have had one suicide of a high risk prisoner in the brig. We need to make sure that we have covered down on what we learned from that case. Based on the below, this young man has a great deal on his plate and it would be good if you impressed upon all who come in contact with Pvt. Manning the absolute necessity of keeping a close watch on him. This includes Brig, medical, Chaplain and transport personnel. His life has completely fallen apart which makes him a strong candidate (from my perspective) to take his life.

S/F
GJF

LtGen. George J. Flynn, USMC
Deputy Commandant for Combat Development and Integration
Commanding General, MCCDC

New York Times
August 9, 2010

Early Struggles Of Soldier Charged In Leak Case

By Ginger Thompson

CAMBRIDGE, Mass. He spent part of his childhood with his father in the arid plains of central Oklahoma, where classmates made fun of him for being a geek. He spent another part with his mother in a small, remote corner of southwest Wales, where classmates made fun of him for being gay.

Then he joined the Army, where, friends said, his social life was defined by the need to conceal his sexuality under don’t ask, don’t tell and he wasted brainpower fetching coffee for officers.

But it was around two years ago, when Pfc. Bradley Manning came here to visit a man he had fallen in love with, that he finally seemed to have found a place where he fit in, part of a social circle that included politically motivated computer hackers and his boyfriend, a self-described drag queen.

So when his military career seemed headed nowhere good, Private Manning, 22, turned increasingly to those friends for moral support.

And now some of those friends say they wonder whether his desperation for acceptance or delusions of grandeur may have led him to disclose the largest trove of government secrets since the Pentagon Papers.

“I would always try to make clear to Brad that he had a promising future ahead of him,” said Daniel J. Clark, one of those Cambridge friends. “But when you’re young and you’re in his situation, it’s hard to tell yourself things are going to get better, especially in Brad’s case, because in his past, things didn’t always get better.”

Blond and barely grown up, Private Manning worked as an intelligence analyst and was based east of Baghdad. He is suspected of disclosing more than 150,000 diplomatic cables, more than 90,000 intelligence reports on the war in Afghanistan and one video of a military helicopter attack—all of it classified. Most of the information was given to Wikileaks.org, which
posted the war reports after sharing them with three publications, including The New York Times.

Wikileaks has defended the disclosure, saying transparency is essential to democracy. The Pentagon has denounced the leaks, saying they put American soldiers and their Afghan allies in grave danger.

And while that dispute rages on, with the Pentagon having recently demanded that Wikileaks remove all secret documents from the Internet and hand over any undisclosed materials in its files, Private Manning is being held in solitary confinement at Quantico, Va., under suicide watch.

Private Manning’s military-appointed lawyer, Maj. Thomas F. Hurley, declined an interview request.

Much remains unknown about his journey there from Crescent, Okla., the small town where he was born. But interviews with people who know him, along with e-mail exchanges between him and Adrian Lamo, the computer hacker who turned him in, offer some insights into Private Manning’s early years, why he joined the Army and how he came to be so troubled, especially in recent months.

“I’ve been isolated so long,” Private Manning wrote in May to Mr. Lamo, who turned the chat logs over to the authorities and the news media. “But events kept forcing me to figure out ways to survive.”

Survival was something Private Manning began learning as a young child in Crescent. His father, Brian Manning, was also a soldier and spent a lot of time away from home, former neighbors recalled. His mother, Susan Manning, struggled to cope with the culture shock of having moved to the United States from her native Wales, the neighbors said.

One neighbor, Jacqueline Radford, recalled that when students at Private Manning’s elementary school went on field trips, she sent additional food or money to make sure he had something to eat.

“I’ve always tried to be supportive of him because of his home life,” Ms. Radford said. “I know it was bad, to where he was left to his own, had to fend for himself.”

At school, Bradley Manning was clearly different from most of his peers. He preferred hacking computer games rather than playing them, former neighbors said. And they said he seemed opinionated beyond his years about politics, religion, and even about keeping religion out of politics.

In his Bible Belt hometown that he once mockingly wrote in an e-mail had “more pews than people,” Private Manning refused to recite the parts of the Pledge of Allegiance that referred to God or do homework assignments that involved the Scriptures. And if a teacher challenged his views, former classmates said, he was quick to push back.

“He would get upset, slam books on the desk if people wouldn’t listen to him or understand his point of view,” said Chera Moore, who attended elementary and junior high school with him. “He would get really mad, and the teacher would say, O.K., Bradley, get out.”

It was something he would hear a lot throughout his life.

After Private Manning’s parents divorced, he moved with his mother to Haverfordwest, Wales, her hometown, and began a new chapter of isolation.
Haverfordwest is several times bigger than Crescent. It is also centuries older, with traditions that run much deeper. A bustling market town, it offered a pace of life that was significantly faster.

Former students at his school there, Tasker Milward, remembered Private Manning being teased for all sort of reasons. His American accent. His love of Dr Pepper. The amount of time he spent huddled before a computer.

And then, students began to suspect he was gay.

Sometimes, former classmates said, he reacted to the teasing by idly boasting about stealing other students' girlfriends. At other times, he openly flirted with boys. Often, with only the slightest provocation, he would launch into fits of rage.

"It was probably the worst experience anybody could go through," said Rowan John, a former classmate who was openly gay in high school. "Being different like me, or Bradley, in the middle of nowhere is like going back in time to the Dark Ages."

But life ahead did not immediately brighten for Private Manning. After his troubled high school years, his mother sent him back to Oklahoma to live with his father and his older sister.

He was hired and quickly fired from a small software company, where his employer, Kord Campbell, recalled him as clean-cut and highly intelligent with an almost innate sense for programming, as well as the personality of a bull in a china shop. Then his father found out he was gay and kicked him out of the house, friends said. Mr. Clark, the Cambridge friend, said Private Manning told him he lived out of his car briefly while he worked in a series of minimum-wage retail jobs.

He enlisted in the Army in 2007, to try to give his life some direction and to help to pay for college, friends said.

He was granted a security clearance and trained as an intelligence analyst at Fort Huachuca, Ariz., before being assigned to the Second Brigade 10th Mountain Division at Fort Drum, N.Y.

Before being deployed to Iraq, Private Manning met Tyler Watkins, who described himself on his blog as a classical musician, singer and drag queen. A friend said the two had little in common, but Private Manning fell head over heels. Mr. Watkins, who did not respond to interview requests for this article, was a student at Brandeis University. On trips to visit him here in Cambridge, Private Manning got to know many in Mr. Watkins' wide network of friends, including some who were part of this university town's tight-knit hacker community.

Friends said Private Manning found the atmosphere here to be everything the Army was not: openly accepting of his geeky side, his liberal political opinions, his relationship with Mr. Watkins and his ambition to do something that would get attention.

Although hacking has come to mean a lot of different things, at its core, those who do it say, is the philosophy that information should be free and accessible to all. And Private Manning had access to some of the most secret information on the planet.

Meanwhile, his military career was anything but stellar. He had been reprimanded twice, including once for assaulting an officer. He wrote in e-mails that he felt "regularly ignored" by his superiors "except when I had something essential, then it was back to OBring me coffee, then sweep the floor."
And it seems the more isolated he felt in the military, he wore custom dog tags that said 'Humanist,' and friends said he kept a toy fairy wand on his desk in Iraq, the more he clung to his hacker friends.

According to Wired magazine, Private Manning told Mr. Watkins last January that he had gotten his hands on a secret video showing a military helicopter attack that killed two Reuters photographers and one Iraqi civilian.

In a computer chat with Mr. Lamo, Private Manning said he gave the video to WikiLeaks in February. Then, after WikiLeaks released it in April, Private Manning hounded Mr. Watkins about whether there had been any public reaction. 'That was one of his major concerns once he’d done this,' Mr. Watkins told Wired. 'Was it really going to make a difference?'

In his computer chats with Mr. Lamo, Private Manning described how he downloaded the video and lip-synched to Lady Gaga as he copied hundreds of thousand of diplomatic cables.

'Hillary Clinton and several thousand diplomats around the world are going to have a heart attack,' he boasted. But even as he professed a perhaps inflated sense of purpose, he called himself 'emotionally fractured' and a 'wreck' and said he was 'self-medicating like crazy.'

And as he faces the possibility of a lifetime in prison, some of Private Manning’s remarks now seem somewhat prophetic.

'I wouldn’t mind going to prison for the rest of my life, or being executed so much,' he wrote, 'if it wasn’t for the possibility of having pictures of me plastered all over the world press.'

Ben Fenwick contributed reporting from Oklahoma City, and Ravi Somaiya from Haverfordwest, Wales. Toby Lyles contributed research.

--------NOTE: In accordance with Title 17 USC 107, this material is distributed without profit or payment to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving this information for non-profit research and educational purposes only. Provided by G2-Forward.
Sir,

Update provided below, taken from the watch journal. In addition to this visit, a Robert J. Van Alstyne visited Manning (his cousin), no issues with that visit.

In addition to the info below, Coombs (Manning's Lawyer) called the Brig directly, in order to inform Manning of issues with David House at the gate. That is an unauthorized communication, and CWO Averhart is summarizing the event in an email to forward to the SJA. The vehicle will be released once impound fee is paid and current registration and insurance are verified.

PAO has been notified, I spoke to TV this afternoon and he is ready to address media inquiries. A couple Blog sites have referenced the incident, no main stream media interest, yet.

VR, DJC

Col Daniel J. Choike, USMC
Commander, Marine Corps Base

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Foster GySgt Brian W 
To: Tomaszek Capt William M 
Cc: Foster GySgt Brian W; Chipps Agent Addam D 
Sent: Sun Jan 23 16:32:43 2011 
Subject: SITREP 

Sir,

AT 1300, 23JAN11, MILITARY POLICE (SOARES) OBSERVED A 2004 SILVER MERCEDES 4-DOOR BEARING OREGON REGISTRATION [REDACTED] AND NO DOD DECAL TRAVELING EAST ON FULLER RD. ADJACENT BUILDING 3085 (GATE 1) DURING A 100% COMMAND AUTHORIZED IDENTIFICATION CHECK. THE VEHICLE WAS STOPPED AND CONTACT WAS MADE WITH THE OPERATOR HOUSE WHO PROVIDED MILITARY POLICE (SOARES) WITH A MASSACHUSETTS OPERATORS LICENSE AND NO PROOF OF INSURANCE (05JAN2011) AND EXPIRED VEHICLE REGISTRATION (27DEC2010). HOUSE STATED HE WAS GOING TO VISIT MANNING IN THE BRIG AND HAMSHER WAS HIS GUEST WHO WAS GOING TO EAT AT MCDONALDS ON BASE WHILE HOUSE WAS CONDUCTING A VISIT AT THE BRIG. A VCIN CHECK WAS CONDUCTED REVEALING HOUSE TO BE A VALID OPERATOR OUT OF THE STATE MASSACHUSETTS. A VCIN CHECK WAS ALSO CONDUCTED ON THE PASSENGER IDENTIFIED AS HAMSHER
REVEALING HER TO BE THE REGISTERED OWNER OF THE VEHICLE AS WELL AS A VALID OPERATOR OUT OF CALIFORNIA.

AT 1305, 23JAN11, MILITARY POLICE (FOSTER) ARRIVED ON SCENE TO ESCORT HOUSE AND HAMSER TO BLDG#3247, (MCB QUANTICO BRIG) FOR AN AUTHORIZED VISIT TO MANNING. UPON INTERVIEWING HAMSER, SHE WAS UNABLE TO PROVIDE VALID REGISTRATION AND INSURANCE AND WAS INFORMED THAT GOING TO MCDONALDS ON BASE WOULD BE TRESPASSING DUE TO NOT HAVING ANY MILITARY OR GOVERNMENT PRIVILEGEDS.

AT 1315, 23JAN11, HOUSE WAS ISSUED TWO (2) 1805’S 1 1805 (2013954) FOR EXPIRED REGISTRATION, AND ONE 1 1805 (2347328) FOR FAILURE TO CARRY PROOF OF INSURANCE, BOTH WHICH CARRY A MANDATORY COURT DATE OF 11APR11 AT 0900 LOCATED AT 401 COURTHOUSE SQUARE, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314.

AT 1320, 23JAN11, A VEHICLE INVENTORY WAS CONDUCTED PRIOR TO STORAGE TO IDENTIFY ANY HIGH VALUE ITEMS THAT MAY HAVE BEEN LEFT IN THE VEHICLE. UPON CONDUCTING THE INVENTORY (FOSTER) OBSERVED SEVERAL PAPERS IN THE TRUNK AREA OF THE VEHICLE IN PLAIN SIGHT, WHICH CONTAINED SEVERAL BANK ACCOUNT NUMBERS FROM NEW YORK AND CONNECTICUT SHOWING MONEY TRANSFERS BETWEEN THEM WITH THE NAMES LISTED AS BRIAN SONENSTEIN AND MICHAEL WHITNEY, A BANK OF AMERICA RECEIPT, A VETERINARIAN RECEIPT FROM VCA MACARTHUR ANIMAL CLINIC FOR TREATMENT OF A POODLE WITH THE NAME OF JANE HAMSER AND AN ADDRESS CONTARY TO THE ONE GIVEN TO MILITARY POLICE DURING THE FIELD INTERVIEW AND ON THE REGISTRATION AND OPERATIONS LICENSE. (_______), AS WELL AS A HAND WRITTEN NOTE REFERENCING THE TEA PARTY, CIA, NAFTA, AND JULIAN ASSANGE.

AT 1350, 23JAN11, BOSWELL’S TOWING ARRIVED ON SCENE.

AT 1409, 23JAN11, CID AGENT CHIPPS WAS NOTIFIED IN REFERENCE TO THE DOCUMENTS AND HAND WRITTEN NOTES.

AT 1417, 23JAN11, CID AGENT CHIPPS ARRIVED ON SCENE AND DECLINED INVESTIGATIVE JURISDICTION.

AT 1446, 23JAN11, BOSWELL’S TOWING DEPARTED THE SCENE WHO STORED THE VEHICLE IN THEIR OFF BASE STORAGE FACILITY. HOUSE AND HAMSER ACCOMPANIED THE TOW TRUCK TO BOSWELL’S OFF BASE FACILITY.

AT 1446, 23JAN11, MILITARY POLICE (FOSTER) DEPARTED THE SCENE WITHOUT FURTHER INCIDENT.

NOTIFICATIONS:
1300/WATCH COMMANDER/GYSGT FOSTER
1301/PATROL SUPERVISOR/CPL SOARES
1315/ASSISTANT OPERATIONS OFFICER/LT ROLAF
1415/USMC CID/AGENT CHIPPS
1415/OPERATIONS OFFICER/CAPT TOMASZEK

Respectfully,
GySgt Foster

-----Original Message-----
From: Flynn LtGen George J
Sent: Sunday, January 23, 2011 3:59 PM
To: Choike Col Daniel J
Subject: Re: SITREP

Rgr

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Choike Col Daniel J 
To: Flynn LtGen George J 
Sent: Sun Jan 23 15:56:13 2011 
Subject: Re: SITREP

Yes sir, protocol for all vehicles with no license and insurance entering the base.

VR, DJC
----- Original Message -----
From: Flynn LtGen George J
To: Choike Col Daniel J
Sent: Sun Jan 23 15:53:48 2011
Subject: Re: SITREP

Wrecker?

----- Original Message -----
From: Choike Col Daniel J
To: Flynn LtGen George J
Sent: Sun Jan 23 15:51:29 2011
Subject: Re: SITREP

Yes sir, they denied. They rode the wrecker off base. VR, DJC

----- Original Message -----
From: Flynn LtGen George J
To: Choike Col Daniel J
Sent: Sun Jan 23 15:49:33 2011
Subject: Re: SITREP

Did we offer to call them a cab?

----- Original Message -----
From: Choike Col Daniel J
To: Flynn LtGen George J; Mortenson Col Royal; Kauzlarich Col Mark M; Streng CIV Peter J;
Oltman LtCol Robert G
Subject: Fw: SITREP

Sir, initial report below in on David House (approved guest for Manning) that was to visit
today. Driver was a Jane Hamsher, who had expired license and no insurance. Access denied. A
more detailed report to follow. PAO notified, media interest expected.

VR, DJC

----- Original Message -----
From: Oltman Col Robert G
To: Choike Col Daniel J; Kauzlarich Col Mark M; Streng CIV Peter J; Johnson Col Thomas V;
Greer LtCol Christopher M; Tomaszek Capt William M; Riley Civ Joseph P; Ponte CWO2 Joseph V
Sent: Sun Jan 23 15:13:54 2011
Subject: Fw: SITREP

House left with tow truck says he will return next week. There is some issue with some bank
documents that were found during the inventory of the vehicle in preparation for towing. The
significance of that is unknown at this time. I have queried NCIS and CID and I am awaiting
their response.

Also a Robert James Van Alstyne is on his way to visit Manning at brig. He is a designated
visitor.
Will have full SITREP in about 1hr.

R/rgo
Sent from my BlackBerry Handheld

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Tomaszek Capt William M 
To: Oltman Col Robert G; Riley Civ Joseph P 
Sent: Sun Jan 23 14:07:19 2011 
Subject: Re: SITREP 

Currently finishing vehicle inventory. Towing company on scene. Asked if he could walk or we could give him a ride to Brig. Told him NO for both. He is either going to walk to 7-11 or sit in gate 1 until taxi shows up. He has been cited for expired registration and no insurance. 
==Sent from my Blackberry==

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Oltman Col Robert G 
To: Riley Civ Joseph P; Tomaszek Capt William M 
Sent: Sun Jan 23 14:00:27 2011 
Subject: SITREP 

Will take as soon as you can send. Want to get to PAO and CO before House has an opportunity to put a negative spin on it. 
Sent from my BlackBerry Handheld
Gentlemen, the original e-mail went to the base paper's mailbox last night. FRONTLINE will have PFC Manning's father on this evening to speak about the conditions at the brig. FRONTLINE's e-mail was sent yesterday and made a request to interview CWO-2 Barnes for tonight's segment. I do not believe the request would have been supportable given the interview policy for brig personnel and the almost unreasonable timeframe.

Recommend that the answer to the request to interview the brig OIC be "no."

-----Original Message-----
From: Raney Aronson
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2011 7:29
To: Sentry.Quantico
Subject: Urgent request sent yesterday

Dear Office of Public Affairs,

I emailed the below message to you yesterday and wish to follow up on the status of our request. If you have any questions please don't hesitate to call or email me. I can be reached on my cell at [redacted]. I look forward to hearing back from you soon.

Kind regards,
Raney Aronson
Senior Producer
FRONTLINE

Dear Office of Public Affairs,

I'm the Series Senior Producer of the current affairs documentary series PBS FRONTLINE. We secured an interview with PFC MANNING'S FATHER, Brian Manning, who is speaking publicly for the first time and discussed the conditions under which he has heard PFC Bradley Manning is being held. I would very much welcome the opportunity to speak with an MCB Quantico official, preferably Chief Warrant Officer Denise Barnes, regarding Brian Manning's response.
If HQs concurs I am OK with this. Take out the "a" before blankets in fourth para.

LtGen. George J. Flynn, USMC
Deputy Commandant for Combat Development and Integration
Commanding General, MCCDC

-----Original Message-----
From: Miner Col Christopher W
Sent: Thursday, April 07, 2011 10:33
To: Flynn LtGen George J
Cc: Hogue SES Robert D; Mortenson Col Royal; Ary MajGen Vaughn A; Ewers Col John R; Choike Col Daniel J; Kauzlarich Col Mark M; Greer LtCol Christopher M; Manning Major Scott D
Subject: FW: Rep Polis Letter

Sir,

For your review and approval, the first attachment is the draft response to Congressman Polis’ letter to SECNAV (2nd attachment) inquiring into PFC Manning’s treatment. The response for Col Choike’s signature is consistent with other responses and has been vetted with CL, SJA2CMC, and MCBQ.

VR, Chris

Col Chris Miner
Staff Judge Advocate
Marine Corps Combat Development Command

-----Original Message-----
From: Sucher Maj Robb A
Sent: Thursday, April 07, 2011 8:44
To: Kauzlarich Col Mark M
Cc: Mortenson Col Royal; Sherrod CIV Jimmy L; Manning Major Scott D
Subject: Rep Polis Letter
Col Kauzlarich,

As discussed, attached is the letter to Rep Polis in regards to PFC Manning's pre-trail confinement. The Counsel for CMC (Mr. Hogue) recommends that Col Choike sign the letter.

If Col Choike does sign it, I ask for a copy for our records.

Thank you Sir - Maj Robb Sucher

----------------------------------
Major Robb Sucher

[Redacted]
Dan,

Copy all. S/F GJF

LtGen. George J. Flynn, USMC
Deputy Commandant for Combat Development and Integration
Commanding General, MCCDC

----Original Message-----
From: Choike Col Daniel J
Sent: Thursday, December 23, 2010 9:00
To: Flynn LtGen George J
Cc: Mortenson Col Royal; Johnson Col Thomas V; Kauzlarich Col Mark M; Miner Col Christopher W
Subject: FW: Manning detention (UNCLASSIFIED)

Sir,
FYI. We have not had anyone contact us from the UN on Manning. We have received 43 calls from (worldwide) of individuals requesting his release and/or change a change in his custody conditions. The SJA will be informing HQMC (JA) for their SA.

VR, DJC

Col Daniel J. Choike, USMC
Commander, Marine Corps Base

----Original Message-----
From: Greer LtCol Christopher M
Sent: Thursday, December 23, 2010 8:45
To: Choike Col Daniel J; Kauzlarich Col Mark M; Oltman Col Robert G
Cc: Broadston Major Christian J; Ebitz Maj Amy R
Subject: FW: Manning detention (UNCLASSIFIED)
Gentlemen,

The attached Army Times/AP Story talks of a UN envoy looking into Manning's detention. I intend to forward this to MajGen Ary, SJA to CMC as I want to ensure it the request from the UN does come through, it will be handled at the Service level.

I wanted to ensure you knew about it and my intention to push this up to higher legal authorities.

v/r

LtCol Greer
SJA, MCB

U.N. reviews Manning’s treatment in brig

The Associated Press
Posted: Wednesday Dec 22, 2010 19:10:53 EST

HAGERSTOWN, Md. - The United Nations’ top anti-torture envoy is looking into a complaint that the Army private suspected of giving classified documents to Wikileaks has been mistreated in custody, a spokesperson said Wednesday.

The office of Manfred Nowak, special rapporteur on torture in Geneva, received a complaint from one of Pfc. Bradley Manning's supporters alleging conditions at the brig aboard Marine Corps Base Quantico, Va., amount to torture, said spokesperson Xabier Celaya. Visitors say he spends at least 23 hours a day alone in a cell.

The U.N. could ask the United States to stop any violations it finds.

Related reading

U.S. denies mistreating Pfc. Bradley Manning
The Pentagon has denied mistreating Manning. A Marine Corps spokesman said the military is keeping Manning safe, secure and ready for trial.

Manning was charged in July with leaking classified material, including video posted by Wikileaks of a 2007 Apache helicopter attack in Baghdad that killed a Reuters news photographer and his driver. He is suspected of leaking troves of other material to the government secret-spilling site, which is in the process of posting more than 250,000 secret State Department cables.

Manning has not commented publicly on whether he is the source of the leaks. Wikileaks founder Julian Assange said the organization's “technology is set up so we don’t know” the sources of the material it gets.

Nowak is the special investigator on torture, working for the U.N. Human Rights Council. Rapporteurs regularly assess complaints from alleged victims of human rights violations. If a complaint is verified as legitimate, the investigator sends an urgent letter or appeal to the government that it believes has committed the violation.

In an interview with MSNBC on Wednesday, Assange called Manning a political prisoner and said he believes the U.S. is trying to get the soldier to testify against him. He called on human-rights organizations to investigate.
"If we are to believe the allegations, then this man acted for political reasons," Assange said. "He is a political prisoner in the United States. He has not gone to trial. He has been a political prisoner without trial in the United States for some six or seven months.

"His conditions have been getting worse and worse and worse in his cell as they attempt to pressure him into testifying against me. That's a serious problem."

Assange has not been charged in connection with leaked documents but was jailed in England this month after two women in Sweden accused him of sex crimes, including rape. He was freed on bail last week and confined to a supporter's country estate while he fights extradition to Sweden, where authorities want to question him.

Assange said it would be "absolute nonsense" for the U.S. to try to make Manning a witness in a conspiracy case against him. "I never heard of the name Bradley Manning before it appeared in the media," he said.
Gents, need your chop on the response to the latest Manning query. The reporter's deadline is 3 April. The OSD statement has been used in its entirety with multiple media outlets. Recommend that we not attempt to re-wicker it. The answers were pretty much cut and pasted from the current MDW PAG with the exception of a few modifications for the sake of clarity. Thanks

R/S,

TV

Col. T.V. Johnson
MCCDC/MCB Quantico PAO

Reporters contact info:

Martin Knobbe, US Correspondent, Stern Magazine

BLANKET OSD APPROVED STATEMENT:

"PFC Bradley Manning is being held in pre-trial confinement at the Marine Corps base Quantico. He is accused of, and charged with, very serious crimes. He is innocent until proven guilty. He is in pre-trial confinement for reasons associated with the criminal justice system, including the very serious nature of the offenses he is alleged to have committed.

The circumstances of PFC Manning's pretrial confinement are regularly reviewed, to ensure compliance with U.S. law and Department of Defense regulations.
From: Choke Col Daniel J  
Sent: Monday, August 09, 2010 6:19 PM  
To: Flynn LiGen George J  
Cc: Oltman Col Robert G; Neill CAPT Mary; Mortenson Col Royal; Miner Col Christopher W; Kauzlarich Col Mark M  
Subject: FW: Medical Statement of Assurance ICO PFC Manning  
Attachments: Medical Brig SOP.docx  
Signed By:  
Importance: High  
Sensitivity: Confidential  

Sir,  
Update from this afternoon's Mental Health Brief between the Mental Health Physician, Dr. Hocuter and the CO of the NHC, is provided below. The concern of long term suicide watch has been addressed already between mental health physicians and the Brig Staff. Manning's status was changed from Suicide Prevention to Prevention of Injury - thus more clothing articles will be provided, as well as other comfort items recommended will be considered by the Brig OIC.  

In talking with Col Oltman this evening, he stated that the OIC's preference was hold off for a couple more days on making the change, and the tentative date to allow additional clothing is Weds and Dr Hocuter agreed. That will end up being a week that Manning was in the suicide prevention status/skivvies and blanket only. Manning does get a daily sunshine visit for appox an hour, so he is not completely cloth less, all day long.  

Will provide weekly updates or updates of any status changes or concerns raised by the Sec Bn/Brig Staff or Mental Health Physicians.  
VR, DJC  

Col Daniel J. Choke, USMC  
Commander, Marine Corps Base  


-----Original Message-----  
From: Neill, Mary E CAPT [REDACTED]  
Sent: Monday, August 09, 2010 17:23  
To: Choke Col Daniel J  
Subject: Medical Statement of Assurance ICO PFC Manning  
Importance: High  
Sensitivity: Confidential  

Col Choke,  

Just finished receiving brief from Behavioral Health and have the following Update at 1615 9 August 2010:
-Detainee being seen 3-4 visits a week by Naval Health Clinic Quantico staff Behavioral Health physician CAPT Hocter, who is also a subspecialist in Forensic Psychiatry.

-Army consultant from Walter Reed Army Medical Center (WRAMC) visited brig on Thursday 5 August to provide additional assessment of detainee and consulted with CAPT Hocter.

-Recommendation from Behavioral Health specialists as of Friday 6 August is to move from SUICIDE PRECAUTION to PREVENTION OF INJURY as a prescribed posture for medical and security to follow; includes allowing certain articles of clothing (no belts, shoelaces, etc), no requirement for constant line of sight observation, moves to eyes on observation every 5 minutes by brig security. Would also recommend allowing books to read to improve mental state (interested in science fiction).

-CAPT Hocter has also partnered with Staff Sergeant Jordan from the brig in developing contingency plan for hospitalization should the need arise. WRAMC has a psychiatric ward to accommodate increased security posture.

Overall affect and mood is improving since arrival, however detainee remains highly emotionally vulnerable to environmental influences and is a significant risk due to complexity of personality issues. Has been prescribed medications for anxiety and depression and medical appointments are being adjusted accordingly in response to detainee status. CAPT Hocter reports solid line of communication between medical and security staff, however has noted that the new CO (or OIC) of the Brig has displayed significant concern and is anxious about high level of visibility and risk. Brig staff may benefit from having their questions and concerns addressed by our medical staff.

Will continue with these updates on weekly basis on Monday afternoons after the weekend visits, unless situation or leadership requests more frequent reporting. Standing by to assist.

V/R,

MBN

CAPT Mary E. Neili, DC, USN
Commanding Officer
Naval Health Clinic Quantico
Roger. Thanks

-----Original Message-----
From: Neill, Mary E CAPT
Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2010 11:03 AM
To: Hocter, William J.
Subject: Re: Update Brig staff

Got it, I am with the base commander as we speak and will present your recommendations directly to CO. Will get back to you shortly.

----- Original Message -----­
From: Hocter, William J.
To: Neill, Mary E CAPT
Sent: Tue Aug 10 10:35:02 2010
Subject: RE: Update Brig staff

Skipper-I just spoke to GySGT Blevins about the detainee. They have the necessary paperwork from me and the OIC received it yesterday. Per GySGT, the OIC elected to continue suicide precautions, not from a suicide risk standpoint, but for security reasons. I do wish they would at least let him have his clothes back (I recommended no shoelaces or belts for example). I'm not real happy about this. However, my experience with the Brig has been that they stick to their guns. I'd appreciate your thoughts.

V/R

CAPT Hocter

-----Original Message-----
From: Neill, Mary E CAPT
Sent: Monday, August 09, 2010 5:27 PM
To: Hocter, William J.
Subject: Update Brig staff
Importance: High

Doc,
If the recommendation from you and Col Malone is to move from suicide precautions to prevention of injury would you please ensure this has been communicated to the brig staff so they can allow appropriate clothing, etc? Seems like there may be a disconnect and the detainee is still on suicide precautions. Thank you.

V/R,
CO

CAPT Mary E. Neill, DC, USN
Commanding Officer
Naval Health Clinic Quantico
Thanks Shawn, look forward to the visit!

SF, Dan

Col Daniel J. Choike, USMC
Commander, Marine Corps Base

-----Original Message-----
From: Shumake, Shawn COL OSD PR
Sent: Thursday, December 30, 2010 11:52
To: Choike Col Daniel J
Subject: RE: PFC Manning and the Quantico Brig

Thanks Dan

I know you have this well in hand, but just want to be able to give my bosses a first-hand look to be sure we can manage this up from my level than have it all tumble down hill when they finally read an article or start asking questions.

I know it's all being handled by the book -- just want to say I have confirmed it.

Talk to you guys next week.

v/r

Shawn
Shawn Shumake
Colonel, US Army
Director, Office of Legal Policy

-----Original Message-----
From: Choike Col Daniel J
Sent: Thursday, December 30, 2010 11:18 AM
To: Wright LtCol Troy V
Cc: Oltman Col Robert G; Galaviz CW05 Abel; Averhart CW04 James T; Shumake, Shawn COL OSD PR; Durham CIV Jan M; Geoffroy SES Raymond F; Mortenson Col Royal; Kauzlarich Col Mark M
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ManningB_00514280
Subject: RE: PFC Manning and the Quantico Brig

LtCol Wright,

Appreciate the update and additional set of eyes/interest. On Monday, I had my own IG make an unannounced visit to the brig and once I send that info report to the OCG MCCDC, I'll provide a copy as a read ahead for the OSD/Chair, DOD Corrections Council visit next week. Additionally, we are working on an info paper that outlines all the stated concerns from discussion, visits and inspections over the past couple weeks and have prepared a brief for MG Hummer on 4 Jan. He is the new DCG, MCCDC and was scheduled for an org brief and we are adding this case as a discussion topic.

Standing by to coordinate the scheduled visit.

SF, DJC

Col Daniel J. Choike, USMC
Commander, Marine Corps Base

-----Original Message-----
From: Wright LtCol Troy V
Sent: Wednesday, December 29, 2010 8:18
To: Choike Col Daniel J
Cc: Oltman Col Robert G; Galaviz CW5 Abel; Averhart CW4 James T; COL Shumake (USA); Durham CIV Jan M; Geoffroy SES Raymond F
Subject: FW: PFC Manning and the Quantico Brig

Col Choike,

Sir, per our (PSL) request, COL Shumake (Chair, DoD Corrections Council) has agreed to conduct a courtesy visit at the Quantico Brig. This is not an official inspection. It is primarily an opportunity for him to see the confinement conditions/facilities at Quantico. Since the PFC Manning case continues to draw international attention I believe it wise to have as many people possible, in their official capacity, be witness to the conditions PFC Manning is subject to so they can personally attest that he is being treated in accordance with regulations.

COL Shumake said his schedule is fairly flexible next week. If you are available I'm sure he'd like to conduct the tour with you.

V/R
LtCol Troy V. Wright
Head, Law Enforcement and Corrections Branch
Security Division (PS); Plans, Policies & Operations (PP&O)
Headquarters, U.S. Marine Corps (HQMC)
-----Original Message-----
From: Flynn LtGen George J
Sent: Wednesday, December 29, 2010 8:02
To: Geoffroy SES Raymond F; Ary MajGen Vaughn A
Cc: Ewers Col John R; Hogue SES Robert D; Dunford Gen Joseph F; Tryon LtGen Richard T; Costantini Col William R; Mortenson Col Royal; Shumaker Col Bradley; Durham CIV Jan M; Salas Col Bryan F; Wright LtCol Troy V; Choike Col Daniel J; Hummer MajGen Steven A; Dunford Gen Joseph F; Oltman Col Robert G
Subject: RE: PFC Manning and the Quantico Brig

All,
Just what I was looking for. Thanks. S/F GJF

LtGen. George J. Flynn, USMC
Deputy Commandant for Combat Development and Integration
Commanding General, MCCDC

------Original Message------
From: Geoffroy SES Raymond F
Sent: Wednesday, December 29, 2010 7:57 AM
To: Ary MajGen Vaughn A
Cc: Ewers Col John R; Hogue SES Robert D; Dunford Gen Joseph F; Flynn LtGen George J; Tryon LtGen Richard T; Costantini Col William R; Mortenson Col Royal; Shumaker Col Bradley; Durham CIV Jan M; Salas Col Bryan F; Wright LtCol Troy V; Choike Col Daniel J

Subject: RE: PFC Manning and the Quantico Brig

Vaughn -- Concur that we should be ahead of the disinformation campaign. The treatment that PFC Manning is receiving as a maximum confinement detainee is in accordance with the American Correctional Association (ACA) standards and is no different than what a maximum confinement prisoner in the Bureau of Prisons (BOP) would receive.

We are coordinating with PA and developing a FACT SHEET that will do a side-by-side comparison of the standards we are following with the DoD/ACA/BOP protocols. We have been coordinating with OUSD P&R (who has DoD Corrections policy) and recommended that they conduct a visit to Quantico next week and endorse the care/treatment that PFC Manning is receiving. They concur and we will be coordinating this with MCB Quantico. We have also discussed this with the Army and have asked them to come along and verify the treatment/care as well.

I would recommend that Bryan coordinate with OSD PA for any formal publication/announcement of the above.

Regards,

Jeff
Jeff,

LtGen Flynn called today asking about PFC Manning and the recent press articles speculating about PFC Manning’s treatment at the Quantico Brig. Although LtGen Flynn has the utmost trust and confidence in the way the brig is being run and that PFC Manning’s treatment meets DoD standards, he would like to be proactive and see if there are a few steps we can take to ensure we hold the moral high ground if the issue starts to take hold in the press. If we do nothing, we would probably be left with a statement that “we are following SOP.” He is looking for ideas to show we are aggressively working to ensure Manning is receiving the care and treatment to which he is entitled so that we have a better response.

I believe he has a point and that the story may get additional press interest, especially given the suicide of Capt Webb at Quantico and the other sailor in pretrial confinement at Pendleton last year. MajGen Lehnert was also an advocate of the open kimono approach to our treatment of detainees at GTMO -- an approach that was very successful in the early days of that issue.

I was hoping to get your thoughts about bringing in an outside team from the DoD Executive Agent for the brigs (Army?) or someone to give us a clean bill of health or recommendations on handling Manning while in pretrial confinement. Again, LtGen Flynn is confident we are doing everything right -- he just wants to make sure everyone else agrees from a strategic messaging standpoint. I’m available to discuss.

Happy holidays and talk soon,
V/r,
Vaughn

Vaughn Ary
Major General USMC
Staff Judge Advocate to the Commandant
Sir,

Last week before the holidays, I asked the Deputy IG, Major Zelek to coordinate an unannounced brig visit with my Chief of Staff, and that visit went on Monday of this week and the final report is complete and attached in this email for your SA.

I have also received notice from PP&O - (quote from LtCol Wright PP&O (PSL)) - "per our (PSL) request, COL Shumake (Chair, DoD Corrections Council) has agreed to conduct a courtesy visit at the Quantico Brig. This is not an official inspection. It is primarily an opportunity for him to see the confinement conditions/facilities at Quantico. Since the PFC Manning case continues to draw international attention I believe it wise to have as many people possible, in their official capacity, be witness to the conditions PFC Manning is subject to so they can personally attest that he is being treated in accordance with regulations."

In addition to this report attached, we are preparing a brief and point paper for MG Hummer's visit, next week on 4 Jan (1330). I also plan on sitting down with Col TV Johnson to use this report for developing a more comprehensive PAG and info campaign, in order to put things in better perspective in the media.

Will provide a copy of the point paper and read ahead brief on Monday AM, both are still under draft.

VR, DJC

Col Daniel J. Choike, USMC
Commander, Marine Corps Base

-----Original Message-----
From: Flynn LtGen George J
Sent: Wednesday, December 29, 2010 8:02
To: Geoffroy SES Raymond F; Ary MajGen Vaughn A
Cc: Ewers Col John R; Hogue SES Robert D; Dunford Gen Joseph F; Tryon LtGen Richard T; Costantini Col William R; Mortenson Col Royal; Shumaker Col Bradley; Durham CIV Jan M; Salas Col Bryan F; Wright LtCol Troy V; Choike Col Daniel J; Hummer MajGen Steven A; Dunford Gen Joseph F; Oltman Col Robert G
Subject: RE: PFC Manning and the Quantico Brig

MANNING.PDF
All,
Just what I was looking for. Thanks. S/F GJF

LtGen. George J. Flynn, USMC
Deputy Commandant for Combat Development and Integration
Commanding General, MCCDC

-----Original Message-----
From: Geoffroy SES Raymond F
Sent: Wednesday, December 29, 2010 7:57 AM
To: Ary MajGen Vaughn A
Cc: Ewers Col John R; Hogue SES Robert D; Dunford Gen Joseph F; Flynn LtGen George J; Tryon LtGen Richard T; Costantini Col William R; Mortenson Col Royal; Shumaker Col Bradley; Durham CIV Jan M; Salas Col Bryan F; Wright LtCol Troy V; Choike Col Daniel J

Subject: RE: PFC Manning and the Quantico Brig

Vaughn -- Concur that we should be ahead of the disinformation campaign. The treatment that PFC Manning is receiving as a maximum confinement detainee is in accordance with the American Correctional Association (ACA) standards and is no different than what a maximum confinement prisoner in the Bureau of Prisons (BOP) would receive.

We are coordinating with PA and developing a FACT SHEET that will do a side-by-side comparison of the standards we are following with the DoD/ACA/BOP protocols. We have been coordinating with OUSD P&R (who has DoD Corrections policy) and recommended that they conduct a visit to Quantico next week and endorse the care/treatment that PFC Manning is receiving. They concur and we will be coordinating this with MCB Quantico. We have also discussed this with the Army and have asked them to come along and verify the treatment/care as well.

I would recommend that Bryan coordinate with OSD PA for any formal publication/announcement of the above.

Regards,

Jeff

Raymond F Geoffroy
Assistant Deputy Commandant
Plans, Policies and Operations (Security)
Headquarters, U.S. Marine Corps

-----Original Message-----
From: Ary MajGen Vaughn A
Sent: Monday, December 27, 2010 2:59 PM
To: Geoffroy SES Raymond F
Cc: Ewers Col John R; Hogue SES Robert D
Subject: PFC Manning and the Quantico Brig

ManningB_00514285
Jeff,
LtGen Flynn called today asking about PFC Manning and the recent press articles speculating about PFC Manning's treatment at the Quantico Brig. Although LtGen Flynn has the utmost trust and confidence in the way the brig is being run and that PFC Manning's treatment meets DoD standards, he would like to be proactive and see if there are a few steps we can take to ensure we hold the moral high ground if the issue starts to take hold in the press. If we do nothing, we would probably be left with a statement that "we are following SOP." He is looking for ideas to show we are aggressively working to ensure Manning is receiving the care and treatment to which he is entitled so that we have a better response.

I believe he has a point and that the story may get additional press interest, especially given the suicide of Capt Webb at Quantico and the other sailor in pretrial confinement at Pendleton last year. MajGen Lehnert was also an advocate of the open kimono approach to our treatment of detainees at GTMO -- an approach that was very successful in the early days of that issue.

I was hoping to get your thoughts about bringing in an outside team from the DoD Executive Agent for the brigs (Army?) or someone to give us a clean bill of health or recommendations on handling Manning while in pretrial confinement. Again, LtGen Flynn is confident we are doing everything right -- he just wants to make sure everyone else agrees from a strategic messaging standpoint. I'm available to discuss.

Happy holidays and talk soon,
V/r,
Vaughn

Vaughn Ary
Major General USMC
Staff Judge Advocate to the Commandant
Sir,

I just spoke with Mr. Shelton, from CMC’s IG office. Quantico brig should expect a dual visit from Marine Corps and Army IG offices sometime next week. Detailed coordination to follow. CW05 Galaviz and I will also be present for the visit. OSD visit will be tentative, pending outcome from the MCIG/AIG visit.

Also just an FYI, Gen Casey and Gen Amos will probably have a conversation about Manning soon, if they haven’t already had it.

PSL is working the MC Public Affairs on a press release, method/venue is being worked right now. Release will be coordinated with all interested parties before we pull the trigger.

V/R
LtCol Wright
To: Choike Col Daniel J  
Cc: Galaviz CWO5 Abel; Oltman Col Robert G; Averhart CWO4 James T  
Subject: Re: PFC Manning and the Quantico Brig

Sir,

I spoke with COL Shumake (OSD) yesterday. He thinks that reviewing the results of the Quantico IG visit last week may preclude the need for an in person visit. Can I tell him when to expect the info report?

V/R  
LtCol Wright

LtCol Troy Wright

----- Original Message -----  
From: Choike Col Daniel J  
To: Wright LtCol Troy V  
Cc: Galaviz CWO5 Abel; Oltman Col Robert G; Averhart CWO4 James T  
Sent: Monday, January 03, 2011 8:00  
Subject: RE: PFC Manning and the Quantico Brig

LtCol Wright,

Thursday after 1100 until COB. Or, Friday morning until 1200.

SF, DJC

Col Daniel J. Choike, USMC  
Commander, Marine Corps Base

-----Original Message-----  
From: Wright LtCol Troy V  
Sent: Monday, January 03, 2011 8:00  
To: Choike Col Daniel J  
Cc: Galaviz CWO5 Abel; Oltman Col Robert G; Averhart CWO4 James T  
Subject: RE: PFC Manning and the Quantico Brig

Sir,

What day/time works best for you this week?

V/R,  
LtCol Wright

-----Original Message-----  
From: Choike Col Daniel J  
Sent: Thursday, December 30, 2010 11:18
Sir,
The POC for Quantico is CO Security Bn, Col Bob Oltman.

We'll make contact with Jan Durham to get our input in the letter that you have described below. A big piece is the medical/mental health support, but there are also other issues that will come up as we progress through the mental health evaluation, Art 32 proceedings, and actual trial. Team Quantico's input will be in 4 areas: the brig - detainee operations, legal, medical, and public affairs. As an aside to all of this, I hope that we will be able to discuss/state concerns on our security challenges and access issues, as a result of our recent demonstration at the front gate. The ante (actions) by those that oppose Manning being confined here has been up'd.

Up to this point, my biggest concern is the lack of information and the amount of lead time that we get for a specific request that affects the way we handle/detain Manning. Additionally, the information requirements from OSD, Sec of the Army, SecNav, HQMC, defense legal team, media...etc. has been like being at the wrong end of the barrel of a shot gun and it has become troublesome determining where the request for info has originated and the purpose or intent of the inquiry. We had one this morning from OSD, through SecNav, through DMCS, through MCCDC, to me via email...when I informed DMCS that CI visited the brig and it would be good to talk to Mr Hogue, and quickly came back with routing the query through his office. I would hope soon that we can surface sand capture what we are actually doing down here, and get a proper endorsement from HHQ experts and then get some top cover from HQMC, and the Army too for that matter, on queries that are rooted from defense tactics, negative blogs and main stream media. I request a protocol or a request chain to be established, so we do not speak out of turn or get too emotional, as this case continues to demand our utmost attention and efforts.

Appreciate all your support, sir.

VR, Dan

Col Daniel J. Choike, USMC
Commander, Marine Corps Base

-----Original Message-----
From: Geoffroy SES Raymond F
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2011 10:10
To: Choike Col Daniel J  
Cc: Durham CIV Jan M; Wright LtCol Troy V; Pagan CIV Radomet R; Galaviz CW5 Abel; Carr Capt Wayne A  
Subject: FW: CG MCCDC LETTER re QUANTICO CONFINEMENT FACILITY

Dan -- you may not have all of the below emails. We put together a lot of information regarding the status of the Manning confinement, what we're doing with the OPT, etc. I need two things from you. First a MCB rep on the OPT (Jules is the legal rep) to address your equities. Goal of the OPT is to develop the appropriate future policy and requirements for Quantico to serve as the PCF in the NCR. This would include changes to the DoDDir and possible MOUs with the other Services to define their contributions, responsibilities, etc.

Second, we are drafting a letter for ACMC to send to the VCSA on what we need from the Army to sustain the Manning confinement. See below. Please have your team put together the appropriate remarks for inclusion in the letter. Please work directly with Jan Durham on this since Troy is on leave. Jan will oversee our efforts to get a letter drafted in a couple of days. He will share the draft with you prior to sending forward.

Thanks,

Raymond F Geoffroy  
Assistant Deputy Commandant  
Plans, Policies and Operations (Security)  
Headquarters, U.S. Marine Corps

-----Original Message-----
From: Williams LtGen Willie J  
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2011 7:44 AM  
To: Tryon LtGen Richard T; Dunford Gen Joseph F  
Cc: Flynn LtGen George J; Geoffroy SES Raymond F; Hogue SES Robert D; Dalke Col Scott A; Sherrod CIV Jimmy L  
Subject: RE: CG MCCDC LETTER re QUANTICO CONFINEMENT FACILITY

ACMC,

Copy all on letter from you to Army this week.

Vr/s, Chief

LtGen Willie Williams  
ef of Marine Corps Staff

-----Original Message-----
From: Tryon LtGen Richard T  
Sent: Sunday, January 30, 2011 21:49  
To: Dunford Gen Joseph F  
Cc: Williams LtGen Willie J; Flynn LtGen George J; Geoffroy SES Raymond F; Hogue SES Robert D  
Subject: Re: CG MCCDC LETTER re QUANTICO CONFINEMENT FACILITY

ACMC,
Believe leveraging the Army for pre-trial support is very reasonable. Additionally, recommend we broker an MOU with the other Services that might require use of the Quantico Brig in the future and outline inherent service obligations/support requirements for pretrial confinement. The Manning case can provide a reference/baseline for the kind of support which may be required ranging from medical/psyche support to Public Affairs.

Will direct OPT to develop a document which can be considered by MCCDC/MCB Quantico.

VR/Rick

----- Original Message ----- 

From: Dunford Gen Joseph F [REDACTED]
To: Tryon LtGen Richard T [REDACTED]
Cc: Williams LtGen Willie J; Flynn LtGen George J; Geoffroy SES Raymond F; Hogue SES Robert D
Sent: Sun Jan 30 19:14:21 2011
Subject: RE: CG MCCDC LETTER re QUANTICO CONFINEMENT FACILITY

Rick--

Many thanks. Roger all below. I also saw George's e-mail. For practical and legal reasons (including those you outline below), I accept that Manning will be with us throughout his pretrial confinement. The focus of our effort should be to prioritize what we need from the Army in the way of support. I'd like to go back to them in writing for the additional medical support etc. that we might need to help mitigate both the risk and cost for Quantico.

Chief--

Let's pull this together and get a letter from me to the Army this week.

SF

Joe

-----Original Message-----

From: Tryon LtGen Richard T [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, January 30, 2011 10:03
To: Dunford Gen Joseph F
Cc: Williams LtGen Willie J; Flynn LtGen George J; Geoffroy SES Raymond F; Hogue SES Robert D
Subject: CG MCCDC LETTER re QUANTICO CONFINEMENT FACILITY

ACMC - I am on HQMC business Mon-Wed. Mr. Geoffroy will brief the Chief. The unfortunate reality is that since we accepted PFC Manning under existing agreements with the Army in the NCR, attempting to arrange transfer may not be legally acceptable or politically viable, and in fact there may not be an alternative detention option in the NCR.

BLUF - There are no federal or civilian facilities (no existing agreement) in the NCR that Army can currently utilize as an alternative to Quantico. There is also no assurance that any alternative federal/civilian facility could offer the same level of support. Few if any detention facilities would welcome such a high visibility prisoner. All external USMC stakeholders are satisfied with the current quality detention service being provided. Additionally, we could request the Army seek an alternative confinement facility but believe that such a request would rise to very senior levels very quickly and that we would be told to continue to support.

Initial OPT Review - Background/Key Points:

-U.S. Army Private First Class (PFC) Manning is in pretrial confinement at the Quantico pretrial confinement facility (PCF) in connection with a high profile federal case (WIKILEAKS).
- The confinement of PFC Manning has produced numerous strains on the Quantico Brig and supporting activities at MCB Quantico. Many of those strains have been addressed but others will continue as long as PFC Manning is confined at Quantico (e.g., psychiatric support).

- The U.S. Army has agreements with local jails/prisons across the country to house Army detainees/prisoners. Those agreements are primarily adjacent to bases/installations which do not have their own confinement facility. There is not a requirement that these jails/prisons be federal facilities.

- The Army does not currently have any agreements with local jails in the NCR, largely due to the fact that the Quantico pretrial confinement facility (PCF) is a no-cost solution in the area. The following paragraph (4.7) from DoDD 1325.04 "Confinement of Military Prisoners and Administration of Military Correctional Programs and Facilities" is germane.

*****

4.7. For economy and efficient administration, confinement facilities of any Military Service shall be used for the confinement of prisoners of other Military Services. Pretrial confinement of military prisoners normally shall be on a non-reimbursable basis at a confinement facility nearest to the place of the court-martial proceedings. The Military Services may enter into agreements or Memoranda of Understanding to address costs and terms for post trial confinement. Prisoners confined in military correctional facilities shall be subject to the rules and regulations of the confining facility regardless of the Service affiliation of the prisoner

*****

- Manning's defense counsel filed an Art 138 motion (quoted in the last week's Saturday WP). Basically, it is a defense gambit to document any complaints that he can use in the future to gain reduced sentencing.

- Brig operations are being conducted in accordance with regulations and observed by IGMC, CI, PSL among others.

- The Army could enter into an agreement with a local jail - the most likely would be the Rappahannock Regional Jail in Stafford, VA. Until contact is made with Rappahannock, or other jails, it will be unclear how receptive they would be to accepting PFC Manning if at all.

- Better detainee treatment/care at a local jail or federal facility is not guaranteed. What we might be able to do is leverage the Army for TAD support in terms of a psychiatrist which is a least one point of consternation at Quantico.

- The Army will not move PFC Manning out of the Quantico PCF without a request from the Marine Corps.

- Moving Manning from the Quantico Brig could potentially support the perception that the claims of mistreatment/improper treatment were true and thereby aid the defense counsel's case.

- We don't have a time frame for how long the coordination necessary to relocate PFC Manning would take.
- A request by the USMC to relocate PFC Manning may produce some undesirable second and third order effects between the U.S. Army and USMC, particularly WRT confinement issues. What would be our course of action if Manning was a Marine? We would not in all probability send him someplace else if the convening authority is here.
-If the Marine Corps asks the Army to relocate PFC Manning the request should originate from the Quantico PCF, be addressed to PFC Manning’s command (Joint Force Headquarters National Capital Region/Military District Washington) and be via HQMC Corrections (PSL/Corrections) and HQDA Corrections.

We have formed a working group (CL, JA, HS, I&L) to address LtGen Flynn’s letter on the capacity of Quantico to serve as a long-term (undefined) PCF. The results and subsequent recommendations will frame future detainee confinements for all Service members in the NCR.

SF/Rick

----- Original Message -----  
From: Williams LtGen Willie J  
To: Dunford Gen Joseph F; Tryon LtGen Richard T  
Sent: Sat Jan 29 16:50:24 2011  
Subject: Re: CG MCCDC LETTER re QUANTICO CONFINEMENT FACILITY  

Yes sir we are. Just prior to us leaving for NOLA, Rick’s guys pulled a team together to work through the various issues associated. At last discussion, all was onboard and providing the SMEs desired. Will run a “how-goes-it” check on Monday.

vr/s, Chief  
LtGen Willie Williams  
Chief of Marine Corps Staff

----- Original Message -----  
From: Dunford Gen Joseph F  
To: Tryon LtGen Richard T; Williams LtGen Willie J  
Sent: Sat Jan 29 15:35:08 2011  
Subject: RE: CG MCCDC LETTER re QUANTICO CONFINEMENT FACILITY  

Chief--  

Are we moving out on this?

Thanks  
Joe

-----Original Message-----  
From: Tryon LtGen Richard T  
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2011 8:52  
To: Williams LtGen Willie J  
Cc: Dunford Gen Joseph F  
Subject: CG MCCDC LETTER re QUANTICO CONFINEMENT FACILITY  
Importance: High  

Chief,  

Received this (attachment) over the weekend from LtGen Flynn in connection with the Quantico Brig. LtGen Flynn raises a number of questions that cross legal/facilities/personnel/medical lines of responsibility. Long litany of email below outlines some of the issues. Subject to you direction, I’ll pull together a working group to sort through the near term and long range issues under PP&O (PS). If you wish for someone else to take the lead, please advise.  
SF/Rick
Jeff,

Sent the letter to General Tryon on Friday. We are looking for help because in my views this was not anticipated when we came to this BRAC driven solution of a NCR Temporary Confinement Facility.

LtGen. George J. Flynn, USMC
Deputy Commandant for Combat Development and Integration Commanding General, MCCDC

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Geoffroy SES Raymond F 
Sent: Thursday, January 13, 2011 13:13
To: Flynn LtGen George J 
Cc: Tryon LtGen Richard T 
Subject: Fw: IGMC MATTERS

George-- reached to Vaughn on pressing the Army JAG. Below is the latest -- not encouraging.

Jeff

Raymond F. Geoffroy
Assistant Deputy Commandant
Plans, Policies and Operations (Security) Headquarters, U.S. Marine Corps

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Ary MajGen Vaughn A 
To: Geoffroy SES Raymond F; Shelton CIV Carlyle E; Choike Col Daniel J; Wright LtCol Troy V 
Cc: Lee BGEN Kenneth J; Ouzts Col Michael J; Baggott Col Kevin K; Durham CIV Jan M 
Sent: Thu Jan 13 13:06:10 2011 
Subject: RE: IGMC MATTERS

Jeff,

I talked to the Army JAG yesterday and followed up with the Deputy JAG, MG Tate -- Bottom line, I don't have a good answer on the legal timeline.

Developing the case is anticipated to take some (indeterminate) time. The investigation into process breakdowns is being conducted by a GO and on a fast track, but is still several weeks from completion. They do not expect the criminal case to be put together for some time and with defense preparation etc. he could be in pretrial confinement for a considerable period so we should base our support plan on several months at least.

I'm available to discuss further and go back to the JAG for more. Talk soon, V/r,
Thanks Carl; let me weigh-in here as I discussed this with LtGen Flynn yesterday. His biggest concern is the drain on his resources to support the Manning confinement, no specific end state or scheduled legal process moving forward, and the fact that Quantico is not resourced for this type of long term confinement. He is sending me a letter that outlines his concerns. I told him we would take for action to coordinate. A lot has to do with BRAC decisions on Quantico as a pre-trial confinement facility but without the requisite resources to support this type of high profile confinement. We need to address his concerns with OSD, and also the Army to get some relief for now and into the future. Maybe additional medical/support personnel. I think your plan for the assessment is a good one but I would wait until we receive the letter and it could be part of our plan of action.

For MajGen Ary -- can you work with Army JAG on the legal timeline?

Thanks,

Raymond F Geoffroy
Assistant Deputy Commandant
Plans, Policies and Operations (Security) Headquarters, U.S. Marine Corps 3000 Marine Corps

-----Original Message-----
From: Shelton CIV Carlyle E
Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2011 11:06 AM
To: Choike Col Daniel J; Wright LtCol Troy V
Cc: Lee BGEN Kenneth J; Geoffroy SES Raymond F; Ouzts Col Michael J; Baggott Col Kevin K
Subject: RE: IGMC MATTERS

Dan, Troy

Copy all, ...I understand that LtGen Flynn would like to see Army take a more active/supportive roll with the detention support. Quantico Brig does not have the full-time requisite personnel support (particularly medical) required for long term "special needs" detention, such as PFC Manning's case. OSD or US Army will need to supplement any deficiencies the Quantico Brig is not designed to do without significant disruption.

1. I will revisit the idea of an IG visit with Army IG 2. LtCol Wright's visit should enable us to specifically identify what areas require outside support.
3. The IGMC will send an Assessment Team to Quantico even if Army IG desires not to participate.
4. The IGMC's rapid assessment should capture Quantico Brig needs.
5. The IG assessment will be passed to Army IG and Col Shumake (OSD Corrections) for appropriate action.
Our Inspection team is out west, so IGMC's first opportunity to visit will be right after the MLK break. That will give us enough time to reengage Army IG and get a sense of what needs to be done for Quantico Brig.

Obviously, Mr. Geoffroy's folks expertise and lead, so we (IGMC) will stay in our "swim lane" and support. Hopefully these action will enable us to address Quantico's needs or the Army may determine it's better to move PFC Manning to an Army facility.

Let me know your thoughts.

Semper fi,

Carl Shelton
Deputy Inspector General, USMC
Headquarters, United States Marine Corps

--- Original Message ---
From: Shelton CIV Carlyle E; Wright LtCol Troy V
Sent: Monday, January 10, 2011 19:25
To: Shelton CIV Carlyle E; Wright LtCol Troy V
Subject: RE: IGMC MATTERS

Carl,

Not sure if this meets CG MCCDC intent. LtCol Wright will be heading this way tomorrow, and in addition to the IG visits, the CG wanted visits from OSD Corrections (Col Shumake) along with the visit from HQMC PSL.

This issue is much more than MCBQ's issue...thus, his desire for Army and HQMC involvement.

SF, DJC

Col Daniel J. Choike, USMC
Commander, Marine Corps Base

--- Original Message ---
From: Shelton CIV Carlyle E
Sent: Monday, January 10, 2011 19:25
To: Wright LtCol Troy V; Choike Col Daniel J  
Subject: FW: IGMC MATTERS  

Troy, Dan,  

Sorry for the slow response, BGEN Lee wanted to close out the issue with the Army IG. US Army is very satisfied with the rapid response and completeness of Quantico's actions, so they have requested to stand down.  

Thanks for everything, but we may see this issue again. Dan, well done to you and your team.  

Semper fi,  

Carl Shelton  
Deputy Inspector General  
U.S. Marine Corps  

From: Amos Gen James F  
Sent: Mon 1/10/2011 9:40 AM  
To: Lee BGEN Kenneth J  
Cc: Dunford Gen Joseph F; Ary MajGen Vaughn A; Hogue SES Robert D; Shelton CIV Carlyle E; Flynn LtGen George J  
Subject: RE: IGMC MATTERS  

Roger that Cave... a piece popped in today's EB on this implying that he is not being treated humanely.  

-----Original Message-----  
From: Lee BGEN Kenneth J  
Sent: Monday, January 10, 2011 12:01  
To: Amos Gen James F  
Cc: Dunford Gen Joseph F; Ary MajGen Vaughn A; Hogue SES Robert D; Shelton CIV Carlyle E; Flynn LtGen George J  
Subject: RE: IGMC MATTERS  

General,  

After discussion with MajGen McCoy, it appears the Army IG is satisfied with the assessment of the quality of life and treatment of PFC Manning. It appears no further investigation or assessment is required at this point. I will have my staff stand down but continue to monitor the issue.  

I will ensure the Under SecNav is aware of this update.  

RS  

Ken  

BGen Kenneth J. Lee  
Inspector General of the Marine Corps
-----Original Message-----
From: Amos Gen James F [mailto:]
Sent: Sunday, January 09, 2011 11:58
To: Shelton CIV Carlyle E; Flynn LtGen George J
Cc: Lee BGEN Kenneth J; Dunford Gen Joseph F; Ary MajGen Vaughn A; Hogue SES Robert D
Subject: RE: IGMC MATTERS

Thanks Carlyle...got it all. Spoke as well to LtGen Flynn a week ago on this matter. Have not heard anything yet from Gen Casey, but as you imply, we will fully comply as it's in everyone's best interests to do so. I know that Gen Flynn is paying particularly close attention to the suicide matter not wanting to give Manning any opportunity to do such a thing. Keep ACMC and I posted if this evolves into anything more than what you have stated below.

Semper fidelis

jfa

-----Original Message-----
From: Shelton CIV Carlyle E
Sent: Monday, January 03, 2011 18:16
To: Amos Gen James F
Cc: Lee BGEN Kenneth J; Dunford Gen Joseph F; Ary MajGen Vaughn A; Hogue SES Robert D
Subject: IGMC MATTERS

General,

BGen Lee is currently traveling with the Wounded Warrior assessment team (Landstuhl, Germany, 3-7 Jan 11), but he directed me to update you about an ongoing issue that's beginning to surface.

BLUF: Allegations have surfaced in the Press that PFC Bradley Manning (alleged Wiki-leaker) is being subjected to harsh treatment bordering on torture. PFC Manning was assigned to 2nd Brigade Combat Team, 10th Mountain Div, at Contingency Operating station Hammer, Iraq when he was apprehended (26 May 2010) by Army CID. On 29 July 2010, PFC Manning was transferred MCB Quantico Brig for pretrial confinement until his Art. 32 Hearing (est. Spring 2011).

This morning I received a called from MajGen McCoy, Dep. Inspector General, US who informed me that Army COS, Gen Casey directed Army IG involvement to assess the quality of life for PFC Manning. Apparently, the UN and Amnesty International are taking an interest in PFC Manning's pretrial treatment. MajGen McCoy stated that Gen Casey will probably give you a call in a day or two. BGen Lee wanted to ensure you were aware of what's being done.

PFC Manning is detained in Special Quarters, not Solitary Confinement at the Quantico Brig. Due to PFC Manning's suicide ideations prior to arriving at Quantico and concerns for his safety within the general Brig population, he was placed in special quarters. This means 24/7 observation, separation from general population, special mattress and blankets, frequent visual checks, isolated access to fitness, television, phone and mail.

Action:
1. Col Dan Choike, CO MCB, Quantico has already ordered an IG QOL assessment for PFC Manning which was completed 28 Dec 2010. The assessment addressed each of the accusations in the Press from quality of detention facilities, food, exercise, health, access to mail, phone, etc. The Quantico Brig was in full compliance.
2. Later this week, PP&O (PLS) will send their Corrections and Law Enforcement reps. to review procedures.

3. IGMC and Army IG will follow up with a two person team focusing on overall operations and PFC Manning's QOL. (This will satisfy Gen Casey's request heading your way).

Due to the request from another Service IG, IGMC is obligated to update the Under SecNav. Additionally, we will ensure the appropriate Marine Corps staff is notified and updated. I am standing by for any further questions or information you may need.

Semper fi,

Carl Shelton
Deputy Inspector General, USMC
Headquarters, United States Marine Corps

INSPECTOR GENERAL SENSITIVE INFORMATION - FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY:
The information contained in this email and any accompanying attachments may contain Inspector General sensitive or predecisional information, which is protected from mandatory disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA, 5 USC Section 552). It should not be released to unauthorized persons. If you are not the intended recipient of this information, any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance on this information is prohibited. If you received this email in error, please notify this office by email or by calling 703-614-1533.
Agree and WILCO. Will keep you posted
Sent from my BlackBerry Handheld
----- Original Message ----- 
From: Choike Col Daniel J
To: Oltman Col Robert G
Cc: Kauzlarich Col Mark M
Sent: Mon Jan 10 17:19:17 2011
Subject: RE: Army Corrections Command independent review of Pvt Manning custody classifications
Roger. As long HQMC is here during the visit, then lets proceed. CG has been pushing through his channels that we get Army/OSD visits...we just need to coordinate the conduct of the visit, have HQMC present and before anything is reported up the chain, we get a chance to comment. Arm-chair quarterbacks not welcomed...need experts that understand our service procedures and local SOP under the existing conditions...if they are willing to look long and hard, rather than drive by and criticize....we should be good. Your involvement every step of the way with HQMC engaged is critical to these visits.
SF, DJC
Col Daniel J. Choike, USMC
Commander, Marine Corps Base

-----Original Message----- 
From: Oltman Col Robert G
Sent: Monday, January 10, 2011 17:00
To: Choike Col Daniel J
Cc: Kauzlarich Col Mark M
Subject: RE: Army Corrections Command independent review of Pvt Manning custody classifications
Greg Stoebel, He works in Army Corrections Command. I have no problem pushing him off easily done with an email we can request he synch up Col Shumake of OSD. I have heard nothing about the OSD visit.
Col R.G. Oltman
Commanding Officer
Security BN, MCB Quantico
Bob,

When you say "he" will be here at 1330, are you talking about Col Shumake? I know that CWO5 is scheduled to visit on Weds, but wasn't aware that Col Shumake is coming. Am I correct here, or off base?

SF, DJC

Col Daniel J. Choike, USMC
Commander, Marine Corps Base

Bob,

Based off discussions with the Chief he is slated to be here Wed at 1330. The Chief and I will be with him. I share you concerns, and have discussed with Galaviz. I recommend we hit him up with it again on Wed a.m. when he is here and request he be present at the 1330 meeting as well.

Col R.G. Oltman
Commanding Officer
Security BN, MCB Quantico

Bob,

Concur with you concerns and I think we would be better served with OSD evaluating and not just Army Corrections. This may be one in the same, seeing that Col Shumake is an US Army officer, but operates in a different capacity.

If we think through this process of evaluation (I think we need to do it even with some
concerns), then what about HQMC PSL evaluating us first and have them sponsor the Army or OSD Corrections experts to follow suit to compare notes. We need to stress the importance of concurrence in what we are doing, in light of all the attention received. CWO5 Galaviz is still coming by to visit this Weds, we might need to discuss this beforehand.

SF, DJC

Col Daniel J. Choike, USMC
Commander, Marine Corps Base

-----Original Message-----
From: Oltman Col Robert G
Sent: Monday, January 10, 2011 13:15
To: Choike Col Daniel J; Kauzlarich Col Mark M
Subject: FW: Army Corrections Command independent review of Pvt Manning custody classifications

Gentlemen
FYSA. This is a result of the email exchanges of the past few weeks. I am open to showing our facility to anyone who wants to see it but I do have some reservations about an independent assessment of our detainees. We should expect that if their opinion is different from ours, Manning's defense council will get that information and exploit it in the press.

Col R.G. Oltman
Commanding Officer
Security BN, MCB Quantico

-----Original Message-----
From: Galaviz CW05 Abel
Sent: Monday, January 10, 2011 10:33
To: Averhart CWO4 James T
Cc: Oltman Col Robert G; Burris MGySgt Richard L; Pagan CIV Radomet R; Wright LtCol Troy V
Subject: Army Corrections Command independent review of Pvt Manning custody classifications

Col Oltman, CWO Averhart, as agreed previously we have asked the Army Corrections HQ's if they would conduct a review of your custody classification process and decisions made in Pvt Manning as an outside USMC source. Greg Stroebel has agreed and suggested Wednesday the 12th between 1330 and 1400 as the best opportunity for him to make it down there. Please advise on the supportability of this suggested date and time.

Respectfully Submitted,
CWO-5 Abel Galaviz
Head, Corrections Section
PP&O, PS Division, PSL Branch
"Don't tell people how to do things. Tell them what to do and let them surprise you with the results."
From: Choike Col Daniel J
Sent: Monday, February 07, 2011 3:33 PM
To: Kauzlarich Col Mark M
Subject: RE: Art 138 - Request for Support
Signed By: [Redacted]

Already have approval!

Col Daniel J. Choike, USMC
Commander, Marine Corps Base

-----Original Message-----
From: Kauzlarich Col Mark M
Sent: Monday, February 07, 2011 15:17
To: Choike Col Daniel J
Subject: RE: Art 138 - Request for Support

CO,

Wholehearted believe that Is CWO Galiviz is best guy for this investigation. Can you task him without approval of his boss?

Respectfully,
Chief

Message-----
From: Choike Col Daniel J
Sent: Monday, February 07, 2011 14:38
To: Kauzlarich Col Mark M
Subject: FW: Art 138 - Request for Support

FYI.Forgot to include you, sorry.

Col Daniel J. Choike, USMC
Commander, Marine Corps Base

-----Original Message-----
From: Choike Col Daniel J
Sent: Monday, February 07, 2011 14:38
To: Durham CIV Jan M
Cc: Greer LtCol Christopher M; Miner Col Christopher W; Wright LtCol Troy V; Mortenson Col Royal
Subject: Art 138 - Request for Support

Jan,
As previously discussed on the phone last week, the attached letter is an advanced copy of the signed letter that will be delivered to CWO 5 Galaviz to assist us in the Art 138 process, specifically addressing claims of abuse in authorities by CWO4 Averhart. I hope that we will be able to complete this action soon, so we can put the issue to rest and move on. This inquiry, by a USMC corrections expert, is instrumental in showing/documenting due diligence on my part to look into the allegations fully and to obtain insight from someone with knowledge and expertise that is outside the close proximity of the MCBQ PCF.

Please let me know if you have any questions/concerns.

SF, Dan

Col Daniel J. Choike, USMC
Commander, Marine Corps Base
3250 Catlin Avenue
Quantico, VA 22134-5001

Office: (703) 784-5900
Cell: (6) (6)
Fax: (703) 784-5906
DSN: 278-5900
Email: (6) (6)
Chris,
Has Col Miner brought you into the loop on this email? We can discuss at our meeting later today.

SF, DJC

Col Daniel J. Choike, USMC
Commander, Marine Corps Base

-----Original Message-----
From: Geoffroy SES Raymond F
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2011 8:27
To: Choike Col Daniel J
Subject: FW: PFC Manning Ltr

Dan, as discussed.

v/r,

Raymond F Geoffroy
Assistant Deputy Commandant
Plans, Policies and Operations (Security) Headquarters, U.S. Marine Corps 3000 Marine Corps

-----Original Message-----
From: Ary MajGen Vaughn A [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2011 6:42 PM
To: Flynn LtGen George J; Geoffroy SES Raymond F
Cc: Ewers Col John R; Miner Col Christopher W
Subject: FW: PFC Manning Ltr

Gents,

FYI. LtGen Dana Chipman, the Army JAG dropped off a copy of the attached letter a few minutes ago. It is an Article 138 complaint from PFC Manning prepared with the assistance of his defense counsel and dated 19 Jan. PFC Manning complains that he has been kept on
prevention of injury (POI) watch despite the fact that two Brig psychiatrists, Capt Hocter and Col Malone "consistently recommended that he be taken off of POI watch." He also claims that he was placed on suicide watch on 18 Jan over the recommendation of Capt Hocter and defense forensic psychiatrist, Capt Moore. He indicates that he has requested relief from the Brig officer via two written complaints submitted 5 Jan 11 and that the brig commander did not respond (though required to by SECNAVINST). PFC Manning then describes the character of his treatment on suicide watch and requests that he be removed from POI watch and his classification level be changed from maximum to medium custody.

An Article 138, UCMJ, complaint is made when a service member believes he has been wronged by his commanding officer. After seeking redress from the commanding officer, the 138 complainant makes a complaint to the officer exercising general courts-martial convening jurisdiction over the officer who has committed the wrong. Manning has addressed his complaint to Col Dan Choike. The procedural posture is a little murky at the moment because it is not clear whether the Brig officer is a commanding officer as defined by Article 138 UCMJ and paragraph 0303 of the JAGMAN. Thus, it is not clear whether Manning is seeking redress from Col Choike as Manning's commanding officer or as the GCMCA of the Brig officer. In any event, meeting the time limits set forth in Chapter 3 of the JAGMAN, the GCMCA will need to look into the complaint, take appropriate measures if relief is warranted, and "and as soon as possible" send to SecNav a copy of the proceedings on the complaint.

V/r,

Vaughn Ary
Major General USMC
Staff Judge Advocate to the Commandant
Gentlemen,

One other piece of information. Based upon comments made by PFC Manning to Brig staff about his ability to kill himself with his underwear (no joke!), CWO2 Barnes determined that he would sleep without his underwear as a precaution. He still is fully clothed during the day. At night he has his blankets so he is not exposed and no female staff have access to even see him.

This may become another media issue as Manning may bring it up with his attorneys.

As Dr Suess would say: I can wear them in a box, I can wear them with a fox, I can wear them in the day, I can wear them so I say, but I can’t wear them in the night, my comments gave the staff a fright.

v/r

LtCol Greer
MCBQ SJA

-----Original Message-----
From: Choike Col Daniel J
Sent: Wednesday, March 02, 2011 17:48
To: Greer LtCol Christopher M; Oltman Col Robert G; Kauzlarich Col Mark M; Miner Col Christopher W; Ewers Col John R
Subject: RE: Additional Charges (UNCLASSIFIED)

Thanks Chris. Busy legal day for our guest, wow. My day was good though....I read Dr. Suess books to 1st and 2nd Graders today at our DODEA schools.

Bob,
I’m sure our Brig Marines and MPs are on a heightened state of awareness both for our guest’s safety and overall safety/security of the base, based upon the news release and additional charges/Art 138 reply.

SF, DJC

Col Daniel J. Choike, USMC
Commander, Marine Corps Base
Sir, when I made the decision to have detainee Manning's underwear removed after taps with his other gear and still keep him POI, my thought process was that he did not threaten suicide nor did he make a suicidal gesture as per the SECNAV. In addition, we did not feel that the extra measures were necessary during regular day time hours. He never said he would commit suicide nor did he act in a manner that was similar to the incident in January with CWO Averhart where he was placed in SR status. His behavior was not erratic in any way, as matter of fact, he was smiling when he was talking to MSgt Papakie. The SECNAV states that I can remove clothing when deemed necessary. I found it hard to justify assigning him the SR status, it is easier to explain why we left him in POI status than why we placed him in SR status without adhering to the guidance in the SECNAV. I also could not justify not taking action in the event of a possible suicide or an attempt at it after he made that comment to MSgt Papakie. MSgt Papakie, GySgt Blenis and I all felt uncomfortable and almost at the same time said we need to make adjustments. As a side note Sir, I do not always concur with the C&A board or items that are brought to me in other capacities. A good example was prisoner Cantrell, on 26 January, the C&A board voted 2-1 to keep him as interior work detail and I disagreed and put him in protective custody status. My decision was based on the reason we had him in confinement and since I did not know who he testified on that may come to the Brig, I put his safety first. Thanks Sir.

"b. Prisoners who have threatened suicide or have made a suicidal gesture, but are found fit for confinement, may be placed in the category of "suicide risk" for observation. They shall be placed in special quarters under continuous observation. CO/OIC/CPOIC may direct removal of the prisoner's clothing when deemed necessary. Prisoner must be under observation of a supervisor of the same sex."

CWO2 Denise V. Barnes
Brig Officer, Security Battalion

-----Original Message-----
From: Olman Col Robert G
Sent: Friday, March 04, 2011 11:43
To: Barnes CWO2 Denise V
Cc: Ebiz Maj Amy R
Subject: Fw: Manning early bird article

Heading towards office soon. CWO2 Barnes synopsize for me the rational for your action and the authority vested and cited by you in the SECNAV. I will reply to this email. Sent from my BlackBerry Handheld
----- Original Message ----- 
From: Wright LtCol Troy V 
To: Oltman Col Robert G 
Cc: Greer LtCol Christopher M; Galaviz CW05 Abel; Durham CIV Jan M; Pagan CIV Radomet R 
Sent: Fri Mar 04 10:42:48 2011 
Subject: FW: Manning early bird article 

Col Oltman: 

Sir, I just wanted to pass on to you a professional opinion from PSL that we have some concerns about recent (within the last 2-3 days) decisions made by the commanding officer of the Quantico brig. To take measures that are consistent with suicide watch but not officially place that person in a suicide watch status is inconsistent with the way we are supposed to do business. We understand there may be some concern about taking actions which may result in another Article 138 complaint but if we are doing business they way we are supposed to there is nothing to worry about.

Very respectfully, 
LtCol Wright 

LtCol Troy V. Wright 
Head, Law Enforcement and Corrections Branch 
Security Division (PS); Plans, Policies & Operations (PP&O) 
Headquarters, U.S. Marine corps (HQMC) 

-----Original Message----- 
From: Galaviz CW05 Abel 
Sent: Friday, March 04, 2011 8:45 
To: Pagan CIV Radomet R; Wright LtCol Troy V; Burris MGySgt Richard L; Gillespie CTR Tab D 
Subject: Manning early bird article 

Gentlemen, news from today's Early Bird.

Soldier In Leaks Case Was Jailed Naked, Lawyer Says 

By Charlie Savage 

WASHINGTON - A lawyer for Pfc. Bradley Manning, the Army intelligence analyst accused of leaking secret government files to WikiLeaks, has complained that his client was stripped and left naked in his cell for seven hours on Wednesday.

The conditions of Private Manning's confinement at the Marine brig in Quantico, Va., have drawn criticism in recent months from supporters and his lawyer, David E. Coombs.

The soldier's clothing was returned to him Thursday morning, after he was required to stand naked outside his cell during an inspection, Mr. Coombs said in a posting on his Web site.

"This type of degrading treatment is inexcusable and without justification," Mr. Coombs wrote. "It is an embarrassment to our military justice system and should not be tolerated.
Pfc. Manning has been told that the same thing will happen to him again tonight. No other detainee at the brig is forced to endure this type of isolation and humiliation.

First Lt. Brian Villiard, a Marine spokesman, said a brig duty supervisor had ordered Private Manning's clothing taken from him. He said that the step was "not punitive" and that it was in accordance with brig rules, but he said that he was not allowed to say more.

"It would be inappropriate for me to explain it," Lieutenant Villiard said. "I can confirm that it did happen, but I can't explain it to you without violating the detainee's privacy."

Private Manning is being held as a maximum security detainee under a special set of restrictions intended to prevent self-injury, even though supporters say there is no evidence that he is suicidal.

During an appearance on MSNBC earlier on Thursday, Geoffrey Morrell, the Pentagon press secretary, attributed the general conditions of Private Manning's confinement to "the seriousness of the charges he's facing, the potential length of sentence, the national security implications" and to protect him from potential harm.

Also, earlier on Thursday, one of Private Manning's friends, David House, said in a conference call with reporters that he had visited the soldier the previous weekend and that his mental condition was severely deteriorating as a result of being confined to his cell 23 hours a day, with one hour to exercise in an empty room, and largely isolated from human contact.

But Mr. House said that Private Manning did not seem suicidal and contended that he was being pressured to cooperate.

Investigators have been seeking evidence that could implicate Julian Assange, the WikiLeaks founder, as a conspirator in the leaking of the military and diplomatic documents and videos.

Mr. House spoke on the conference call with Daniel Ellsberg, who compared the leaking of documents to WikiLeaks to his own leaking of the Pentagon Papers during the Vietnam War. On Wednesday, the Army announced 22 additional charges against Private Manning, including "aiding the enemy."

The charge sheet did not explain who "the enemy" was, leading some to speculate that it was a reference to WikiLeaks. On Thursday, however, the military said that it instead referred to any hostile forces that could benefit from learning about classified military tactics and procedures.

Respectfully Submitted,
CWO-5 Abel Galaviz
Head, Corrections Section
PP&O, PS Division, PSL Branch

"Don't tell people how to do things. Tell them what to do and let them surprise you with the results."
Bob,
What you have listed below is on target. The instructions given to me were best passed on personally to CWO2 Barnes, based upon the last two lengthy meetings with the CG. I don’t prefer this approach and would rather go through you, but your TAD trip and absence creates this situation. Much is lost in email missives.

I just got off the phone with CWO2 Barnes and let her know about your email and the CG’s intent. I know how things go and what you have done in the past in terms of notifying me, I only ask/reconfirm that any decisions to change handling instructions or assignment status is briefed first, before executed. Actions required to save life and/or protect from injury are not included in this for obvious reasons.

You and I supporting/concurring with the Brig OIC's decisions that change handling instructions or assignment status, without passing that info to CG MCCDC for consideration, is no longer acceptable. We/you are not going to get anything in writing from CG MCCDC if he rejects/modifies a recommendation. Memo's for the record can be discussed more between you and I, in an effort to address your concerns about proper documentation/file keeping.

Summary - #1 - yes adhere to the chain of command, and hopefully you understand why that didn’t happen right now. #2 Yes - recommendations forwarded to me for discussion and concurrence and then recommendation forwarded to CG, MCCDC before implementation. I will not blindly forward a recommendation to the CG, instead I’ll discuss it with you so you will know exactly what I forward. #3 Non-concurrence in writing - we need to discuss and determine the best way to document decision/final actions for the record. CG wants to be able to determine political impact, media interest, legal ramifications, and senior leadership reactions, and can't do so without him being in the loop upfront.

SF, Dan

PS- When do you get back?

Col Daniel J. Choike, USMC
Commander, Marine Corps Base

---Original Message---
From: Oltman Col Robert G
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2011 14:02
To: Kauzlarich Col Mark M; Choike Col Daniel J
Cc: Ebitz Maj Amy R  
Subject: Manning Status

Gents

Just got off phone with XO. She has informed me that any changes in Manning Status must be approved by Base CO. I think there is an assumption being made that CWO2 Barnes is acting alone and unafraid in her decision process with regard to status and or handling instruction changes with regard to Manning. That is not the case. She and I discuss, same as I did with Averhart, every decision and every change and to date I have concurred with all. Also every change has been discussed with the Boss. Not sure how much more involved we can be. Understand where guidance is coming from and we will do as directed. However I respectfully make the following requests 1) We adhere to the chain of command. Barnes will contact me and I will contact Base CO. 2) Based on conditions etc we, Sec BN will make a recommendation/request to implement the change. Should there be non concurrence and different guidance given we would like to have it in writing in order to annotate in the file. We can set up whatever paperwork is required.

We are on board just want to ensure the files are properly documented.

R/rgo

Sent from my BlackBerry Handheld
From: Nell, Mary E CAPT  
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2011 2:19 PM  
To: Lagen, Erin K. Maj JTF CAPMED; Smith, Bradford L CDR NNMC; Nelson, Elizabeth CAPT NMNCA  
Cc: Ralmondo, Lisa H. CAPT; Bailey, Steven M LCDR; Bul, Han Q. CDR NHQ; Malone, Ricky D COL WRAMC-Wash DC; Malone, Ricky D COL MIL USA MEDCOM WRAMC  
Subject: FW: Request for Forensic Psychiatrist (UNCLASSIFIED)  
Attachments: Request for Assets.docx; REQUEST FOR ASSETS LTR (7MAR11).docx; TASKORD R1111210 01 Forensic Psychiatrist Support for Marine Corp Brig Quantico Detainee.pdf  
Signed By:  
Importance: High  
Sensitivity: Confidential  

Good Afternoon ALL,

FYSA. I have just returned from an unscheduled meeting called by Marine Corps Base Quantico Commander in regards to PFC Manning and support to brig operations for this particular detainee. With the recent uptick in media, federal and DoD involvement at the highest levels, and the progression of legal proceedings in this case, the Base Commander is proposing that the requirement for Behavioral Health support be increased to 24/7 availability and serve as assigned staff on call by the brig OIC. Furthermore, he and the brig OIC are not comfortable with the current availability of our provider assigned via TASKORD. It appears that their sense of need and urgency is different from the current medical assessments.

At this meeting I have asked several important questions of legal and the correctional experts on hand:

1. Is this medical support requirement defined by medical experts or correctional facility experts or both; after a lengthy discussion and awareness of current situation and environment it appears that the correctional facility is working hard to drive the increased Behavioral Health provider support.

2. What is the necessity of having a Forensic Psychiatrist vice a different but appropriate level of Behavioral Health provider available. Could this be staffed by a different level provider? Legal says should be able to use appropriate level for the current conditions and not have to lock on with Psychiatrist with Forensic subspecialty.

3. What is the brig staff are requesting medical experts to do specifically with respect to communications with Brig OIC. I have a handle on after this meeting and will ask that Col Malone take an in brief with the assigned brig staff prior to meeting with PFC Manning and at the end of the visit communicate appropriate findings that will allow brig staff to carry out plan. The brig OIC communicated that the behavioral patterns of PFC Manning during their daily observations and how he behaves during the provider visits are very different and are of great concern for the staff.

I expressed my concerns with defining the requirement for medical support based on brig procedural protocol and it was suggested that the JFH NCR correctional expert (Col Lynch who was present at this meeting) will be coordinating the requirement through NCR to JTF CAPMED (J3) and giving additional guidance based on correctional facility protocol and established SOP at the brig. Again, not exactly sure what this requirement will look like and who should be defining it. This message serves to provide a heads up that these discussions are taking place and expect a push to increase the Behavioral Health staff support in the immediate
future. I remain engaged on a daily (of recent hourly) basis with this case and am working to strike a balance and level of comfort for the Marine Corps leadership as it is their facility. Next steps . . . I am open to ideas.

V/R,
CAPT Neill

CAPT Mary E. Neill, DC, USN
Commanding Officer
Naval Health Clinic Quantico

----Original Message-----
From: Neill, Mary E CAPT
Sent: Tuesday, March 08, 2011 3:13 PM
To: Nelson, Elizabeth CAPT NMMCA; Smith, Bradford L CDR NNMC
Cc: Lagen, Erin K. Maj JTF CAPMED; Bailey, Steven M LCDR; Raimondo, Lisa H. CAPT
Subject: FW: Request for Forensic Psychiatrist (UNCLASSIFIED)
Importance: High

Good Afternoon COS,

Forwarding RFA via Navy Medicine NCA to JTF CAPMED J3 as directed by J3. This RFA serves as official request to continue JTF CAPMED sourcing of this validated requirement. Please see e-mail thread below for additional details. I am also including a copy of the current TASKORD for reference.

Thank you for your support and I am standing by should you have any questions. The point of contact at J3 to receive is Maj Erin Lagen.

V/R,
CAPT Neill

CAPT Mary E. Neill, DC, USN
Commanding Officer
Naval Health Clinic Quantico

----Original Message-----
From: Lagen, Erin K. Maj JTF CAPMED
Sent: Friday, March 04, 2011 10:07 AM
To: Neill, Mary E CAPT; 'Malone, Ricky D COL MIL USA MEDCOM WRAMC'; 'Ivener, Dominick J MAJ MIL USA MEDCOM AMEDDCS'
Cc: Duray, Paul H. COL JTF CAPMED
Subject: RE: Request for Forensic Psychiatrist (UNCLASSIFIED)

Sir/Ma'am,

Thank you all for joining me on the teleconference today. I think we got a lot accomplished for our way ahead and have a few due outs we need to complete. Below is a recap of what we discussed as well as the due outs I need to support this mission. Also attached is a draft RFA. Below please find the email traffic that started this ball rolling.
BLUF: The visit went extremely well. Mr. Stockton was impressed with the professionalism of the staff.

At the outset, Mr. Stockton made it clear that he was not at the PCF to inspect or determine if Manning was being mistreated. Rather, his purpose was to conduct an objective assessment of the capabilities of our PCF and "rack and stack" it against the other 4 facilities (Ft Leavenworth, NAS JAX, Charleston, Norfolk) that he has visited over the last 2 days in order to make a recommendation on where PFC Manning should be held. This evaluation included PA posture/issues, medical support, facilities capabilities and other factors such as ability to conduct a trial near the other facilities.

PA: Col Johnson indicated that the current PA difficulties had largely subsided as there was now a process in place (although unwieldy) to funnel press queries to DoD PA for approval. Col Johnson also commented favorably on the working relationship with MDW PAO and the good working relationship at that level. He stated that we, here at Quantico, recognize the strategic implications of this situation and will continue to support those efforts at the tactical level. Mr. Stockton agreed with the importance of the role of PA and stated that was part of why he was here; so he could not only make a recommendation to his boss but also be able to address issues presented to him with firsthand knowledge.

Medical: CAPT Neil explained the current request for a behavioral specialist to fill the gap at the PCF. Mr. Stockton indicated he could definitely help get that the level of attention needed. She also ran through the current psychiatric support being provided via JTFCAPMED in the person of Col Malone and explained that Malone had indentified a backup provider and that support would continue. We also discussed the apparent incongruity between providing a high level of psychiatric support that may not be medically necessary as Col Malone is of the opinion that there are no psychiatric issues with Manning. We then discussed the difficulty of assessing whether Manning was playing the system and our belief that the behavioral health specialist could help us assess manning's behavior.

Facility: I discussed the PCF history and the designation of the PCF as pretrial only and the limitations that came with that designation. I also explained that MCBQ PCF was the test bed for a pretrial only facility and that we were set up based on a normal pretrial confinee. This long term situation only highlighted the issues that could arise in a high profile, long lasting situation. The behavioral health specialist would help the staff assess Manning and all of the detainees. CWO 2 Barnes then explained the custody and status of Manning, how he was continuously evaluated, some of the behaviors we had seen, etc. Mr. Stockton queried whether the custody classification and status assignment were based on an assessment of the current conditions and if a change of conditions would result in a change of custody. We explained that the PCF staff was constantly assessing the conditions of confinement and manning's behavior but we stressed that the charges were serious, and there were many factors that supported continued maximum custody and also POI.

Misc: Mr. Stockton was briefed on the lack of SCIFs on MCBQ that could be set aside to serve the manning case and we pointed out that Belvoir had been provided secure spaces for the review of classified material.
The walk through went well and Mr. Stockton had no particular questions.

Assessment: The visit highlighted our experience with this issue and the steps we had taken to minimize friction. It would be difficult to predict whether any of the other facilities are better equipped to handle Manning. Certainly a change in facilities would result in some short term friction as other PCF staffs and installation staffs experienced what we have experienced over the last few months. Mr. Stockton said he truly had a better understanding of the situation and would be able to appropriately brief his boss on what he felt should be the way ahead.

Please advise if there are any questions.

Col R.G. Oltman
Commanding Officer
Security BN, MCB Quantico
Dan,

BLUF: These type of Manning issues are above the scope of what you and I do here at the tactical level here at Quantico. The earlier we can get others involved and responsible for dealing with these strategic and operational issues the better. In addition, we do not need to have any seams that can be exploited. This is also why Mr. Hogue is coming with the GC tomorrow. S/F GJF

LtGen. George J. Flynn, USMC
Deputy Commandant for Combat Development and Integration
Commanding General, MCCDC

-----Original Message-----
From: Choike Col Daniel J
Sent: Monday, February 07, 2011 19:55
To: Flynn LtGen George J
Cc: Mortenson Col Royal; Miner Col Christopher W; Greer LtCol Christopher M; Oltman Col Robert G; Kauzlarich Col Mark M
Subject: Re: Status/update - DV Visits to MCBQ Brig

Roger sir.

VR, DJC

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Flynn LtGen George J
To: Choike Col Daniel J
Cc: Mortenson Col Royal; Miner Col Christopher W; Greer LtCol Christopher M; Oltman Col Robert G; Kauzlarich Col Mark M
Sent: Mon Feb 07 19:50:13 2011
Subject: RE: Status/update - DV Visits to MCBQ Brig

Dan,
I am working all of these with the CMC's counsel. Each of these and any others like them need to be worked at that level. S/F GJF

LtGen. George J. Flynn, USMC
Deputy Commandant for Combat Development and Integration
Commanding General, MCCDC
-----Original Message-----
From: Choike Col Daniel J
Sent: Monday, February 07, 2011 14:52
To: Flynn LtGen George J
Cc: Mortenson Col Royal; Miner Col Christopher W; Greer LtCol Christopher M; Oltman Col Robert G; Kauzlarich Col Mark M
Subject: Status/update - DV visits to MCBQ Brig

Sir,
I had my SJA summarize in the attachment the three recently requested brig visits, for your SA.

1. OSD (3) - GC, Press Sec, and SA to SecDef - tomorrow at 1500.
2. UN visit - the UN Special Rapporteur on Torture, Mr. Mendez - DOD General Counsel's approval pending.
3. Lawmaker/Congressional visit - Congressman Kucinich w/press - request routed to the Army for guidance. HQMC (JA/CL/OLA) involved.

VR, DJC

Col Daniel J. Choike, USMC
Commander, Marine Corps Base
3250 Catlin Avenue
Quantico, VA 22134-5001

Office: (703) 784-5900
Cell: (b)(6)
Fax: (703) 784-5906
DSN: 278-5900
Email: (b)(6)
Sir,

Wanted you to know that there has been significant staff coordination going on to try and connect the legal players IRT Manning. Not sure yet whether the clearing house at HQMC is going to be Gen Ary or Mr Hogue, or both, but at least there is a recognition that a HHQ clearing house is required. (Does not look like PSL is at all interested in being that clearing house.) The consensus is that there should be continued tactical level staff to staff coordination between MCBQ and MDW. For example, whether Manning is transported in cammies is something that we can resolve at this level without input from HHQ.

I am answering several inquiries from Mr Hogue through Col Miner to catch them up on all aspects of the case up to this point. One gratifying point was that apparently Gen Flynn made it clear that MCBQ would not be left "holding the bag".

v/r

LtCol Greer
MCBQ SJA

-----Original Message-----
From: Ewers Col John R
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2011 19:19
To: PEDE, CHARLES NICHOLAS; Miner Col Christopher W; BRADLEY, COREY LORREN
Cc: Greer LtCol Christopher M; Lagasca, Jason, Col, DoD OGC
Subject: Manning coordination

Gents -

Tried each of you on the phone today with limited success. (Only got Chris Miner).

LtGen Flynn met with LtGen Chipman today and they discussed Manning. Gen Flynn's concern was that there were seams in the handling of the sundry issues associated with Manning's incarceration in the Quantico brig. Psych evals, 138 complaints, and visit requests from UN Rapporteur (sp), DOD GC and Congressman are examples. Clearly, there isn't much that is routine about Manning's PTC.

General Flynn's basic question was essentially: when one of these non-routine issues arises, whose in-box do I put it in? His proposed solution, which General Chipman supported, was to connect the lawyers.

Chuck, Corey, General Chipman recommended I contact each of you. Jason, we've seen that the DOD GC is going to have some play here. (Chrisses, you know why you're on the addee list).

What I want to do is make sure we're all talking. We won't do any poaching (and will avoid any shirking), just want to make sure we have coordinated actions and responses as this process moves on toward trial. (I also know that there are likely to be some issues that require resolution at higher levels, but an info loop on those should help us all.)
I'll try to get each of you tomorrow. Call if you get a minute. Thanks. VR -

John Ewers
Colonel, U. S. Marine Corps
Deputy SJA to CMC
Sir, Sent the following as a result of the meeting tot the Manning SJA and trial counsel. I think it also summarizes the main issues.

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

Gentlemen,

Had a meeting this morning at the Brig with the staff and CAPT Hoctor (forensic psych) and CAPT Moore (forensic psych).

Background: Dr Hoctor is Manning primary mental health provider. He is deploying next week. As part of the regular mental health care at the Brig, Dr Moore has also been involved with Manning's care. Hoctor was approached at one time to be a defense expert but he declined. Dr Moore has also been approached by the Defense as a potential expert. He declined.

Manning has allowed access to his medical records to Defense. I found out this morning that the Brig has an ongoing incarceration residency program in agreement with Walter Reed. There are four residents (actually one intern, two residents and one fellow) who come to the Brig every Friday as part of a forensic psychiatry rotation/residency program. These residents treat all of the Brig residents as well as Manning as there was no distinction between him and other detainees WRT mental health care. The new rotation of residents is on deck and had their first day today. Based on my discussion with CPT Haberland yesterday, we have told them NOT to be involved in Manning's treatment until the CA authorizes it due to potential clearance issues. Col Malone, from Walter Reed, is going to assume primary care for Manning. He was supposed to be there this morning but was not. He supervises the residency program but our expectation s that he will not treat the Brig population but will only treat Manning.

Issue 1: Request guidance from the CA (or you) regarding guidelines for the residents to be involved in Manning's ongoing mental health care. My understanding is that the residents should conduct/sit in on interviews with Manning as part of his care plan. We frankly do not care what the decision is, we just want to know if you wish to restrict the number of people who have access to Manning as a legal issue (perspective witnesses) or for security reasons (clearances, disclosures, etc.) We DID NOT vet past residents in the program nor did we vet the current group regarding clearances. I do know that one of the residents (actually a fellow) will be on Manning's 706 so she stepped out during much of our discussion this morning. Obviously she does not participate in Manning's care.

Issue 2: Dr Moore requested guidance from the CA (or you) on how much information they should disclose to the DC. They have a great deal of experience working with DC's but he wanted to know if there were any areas of concern regarding disclosures. They have a waiver from Manning that protects them for HPPA purposes and ethical purposes but are looking for left and right lateral limits, if they exist.

Issue 3: Dr Hoctor expressed his concern about the POI status. He felt that POI was not justified from a medical viewpoint. The Brig OIC explained that the medical component was part of the overall classification assessment and that the process was continuously evaluated. Hoctor stated clearly that he did not support the POI status.

Issue 4: Suggest that you request from CA to MCB Cdr that he provide you with all classification and assignment documentation regarding Manning. You could simply state to
build SA for the CA and to prepare for possible litigation, you request the classification documentation and that this request is ongoing. That way we have an official request we can respond to.

Issue 5: This is related to 3 and 4. Stand by for heavy rolls if the CA decides to request the Base commander to review and consider removing Manning's POI status. We are continuously reviewing that status. Unless you want to run our Brig, I think you undercut your own legal position if you actually recommend that the POI status be removed. We are the jailors, either you trust us or you don't. if you don't, then move him. The best thing would be to get this through the 706 process so we can have that additional info to consider in the status review. Again, the Brig makes a team decision on his status, it is based on experience, training, input form the personnel who interact with Manning, and the medical input. You all know that he is not is solitary, that all the detainees have their own cell, that no detainees may PT in their cells, etc. As I told John last night, unless someone wants to come down and accept responsibility for the daily safety and welfare of Manning, it is our job.

I need a fairly quick turn on 1 and 2 as this residency program was new info for me. Again, we DO NOT care who sees Manning. We leave the National Security concerns, clearances, etc, to you.

+++++++++++++++++++++

LtCol Greer
SJA, MCB

-----Original Message-----
From: Choike Col Daniel J
Sent: Friday, January 14, 2011 11:26
To: Greer LtCol Christopher M; Oltman Col Robert G; Kauzlarich Col Mark M
Subject: RE: UN Manning Doc

Roger all, thanks. Need an update on the medical transition visit today.

Col Daniel J. Choike, USMC
Commander, Marine Corps Base

-----Original Message-----
From: Greer LtCol Christopher M
Sent: Friday, January 14, 2011 9:00
To: Choike Col Daniel J; Oltman Col Robert G; Kauzlarich Col Mark M
Subject: UN Manning Doc

Sir,

Rather than send up an e-mail string with a lot of lawyers mulling over implications and who is going to say what to whom, I have attached the actual diplomatic note from the UN to the
DoS. It focuses on the "solitary confinement" of Manning. As we all know, he is not being held in solitary confinement. The response is not ours although we will have input into the final product that is submitted to DoS. I will keep you apprised as that product is developed. I have been engaged with Gen Aty and his staff on this as well. The US has faced similar inquiries WRT GITMO and has provided a somewhat "stock" response.

I also had a long talk last night with Manning SJA personnel IRT litigation schedule (still none but "closer" to the 706 kicking off), Col Malone (they concur no interns unless there is some medical necessity and Col Malone has the proper clearance and the SJA has already talked to Col Malone regarding his role as treating physician), the UN inquiry, and their position on Manning’s status. I made it clear to them again that we have the day to day responsibility for Manning and if they are unhappy with Manning current status, then someone in the Army needs to take custody of him or relive us of the responsibility of his welfare. Until there is someone with an Army letterhead actually accepting responsibility for his welfare, then we will consider their input like any other Command, but the decision would rest with the Brig officer and SecBn. I reiterated our concern that if something goes wrong, there is not a single Army person that would be held responsible or found to be accountable as long as he stays with us.

v/r

CHRIS GREER
LtCol, USMC
Staff Judge Advocate, MCB Quantico
3250 Catlin Avenue
Quantico, VA 22134
Phone: (703) 784-3683
(DSN) 278-3678
Fax: (703) 784-3683
(DSN) 278-3683
Email: [b] (b) (6)
Gentlemen,

I wanted to get this to you ASAP.

The SPMCA request to reduce POI requires a response and I will draft one for your review. This is the "forwarding endorsement" on the 5 Jan request from DC that Manning be pulled from POI status. The good news is that this is carefully worded and simply states that we carefully review POI and that we continue to review the classification and assignment of Manning.

The SPMCA response is a response to a separate request filed on 13 Jan by DC that asked that Manning be released from confinement. We did not ever see that request. No action is required from us on this request.

v/r

LtCol Greer
SJA, MCB

-----Original Message-----
From: Fein, Ashden CPT USA JFHQ-NCR/MDW SJA
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2011 16:33
To: Greer LtCol Christopher M
Cc: 
Subject: RE: Wrong version of POI Memo
Importance: High

Sir,

I apologize for the confusion. We had two version working and I sent the wrong one to John. The attached request is from COL Coffman. Also, attached is COL Coffman's action on the RCM 305(g) request for your records and not for release. Thank you for all your assistance!

v/r

Ashden

Ashden Fein
CPT, JA
Chief, Military Justice
U.S. Army Military District of Washington (MDW)
---Original Message-----
From: Haberland, John CPT MIL USA                          
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2011 9:10 PM                      
To:                                               
Cc: Fein, Ashden CPT USA JFHQ-NCR/MDW SJA;       
Subject: Wrong version of POI Memo

Sir,

I apologize, I sent the wrong version of the POI memo. CPT Fein will follow with the correct version.

Very Respectfully,

CPT John Haberland
Regimental Judge Advocate

Sent from Blackberry